Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2007 with funding from Microsoft Corporation





STATE and BEHAVIOUR

O F

ENGLISH CATHOLICS,

F R O M

The Reformation to the Year 1780.

WITH

A VIEW of their PRESENT NUMBER, WEALTH, CHARACTER, &c.

IN TWO PARTS.

Sic nos in luce timemus Interdum, nihilo quat sunt metuenda magis, quam Quae pueri in tenebris pavitant, finguntque futura.

Lucret.

LONDON:

Printed for R. FAULDER, New-Bond-Street, MDCCLXXX.



REFACE.

EFORE the press be closed, I see a propriety in presizing a sew observations. When in manuscript, the following pages were submitted to the inspection of relends; they made objections, which I attended to; and I made some alterations at their request. I could not do all they defired, because I could not totally sacripice my own ways of thinking. I owed tomething to myself, as well as to them.

The printed theets have also been feen by others, whose moderation and improved abilities I greatly value. It is proper, attention thould be paid to their remarks. They have told me that,

I should have quoted authorisis for what, on many occasions, I have faid.

My answer is — That my information has been principally taken from well-known fources; from Lord Clarendon, Eishop Burnet, Mr. Hume, and other writers on English History. I wished not to crowd an humble page with the pompous

pous display of great names. It was neceffary to read much, but I could collect little. Catholics, for many years back, had made too inconfiderable a figure in the drama of human life, to attract the notice of the annalist or the historian. In the most crouded narratives of English bufiness, they seldom appear, unless where peevish humour brings them forward, for an object of censure or of malignant satyre. There is a Church History of England, from the year 1500 to the year 1688, published some years ago by a Catholic Clergyman, which was of use to me. It contains many things, regarding Catholics, during that period, extremely curious and well authenticated. - The delineation of modern Catholics was generally drawn from my own observation and experience,

They have told me, I am too animated, too free, and occasionally too severe on all parties; that Catholics may not be pleafed, and that Protestants may be offended.

My answer is—If I am too animated, it is not my fault. I write as I feel; and the regulating of the flate of my nerves is not at my own option. Befides, the view

of many things I had to contemplate, was of a nature fufficiently stimulant to rouse powers much less irritable than mine. After all, dull composition is but a sorry entertainment.—If I write with freedom; let it be remembered, I am an Englishman; and though oppressed, my thoughts are not thackled, nor am I tongue-tied. It is a well-known description of a good historian, given by Cicero, when Rome was no longer free, Ne quid falli dicere audeat, ne quid veri non audent; that is, Let him dare to fpeak all truth, let him not dare to tell a lie. - I do not think I have been too fevere on any party. I faw faults on all fides, and those faults I centured. If Catholics be not pleased: They may know, that I did not write with views of pleafing them. I aimed to inform, and if possible, to correct. Lords, Priests, and Commons, now have, and always have had, fomething in their characters and in their manners, which is reprehentible. They would not with I hould flatter them. If Protestants be offended at any thing I have faid, I shall be forry, because I did not mean to give offence. Do they however suppose, their conduct has not been often extremely centurable?

It has been faid—That I generally use the word Catholic without the restrictive term Roman; and that I studiously avoid the words Papist and Popery .- It is true, I have intentionally done to. Why flould I apply an unnecessary epithet, when the fingle appellation of Catholic furliciently diffinguished the party I was describing? Befides, the word Roman has been given us to intimate some under attachment to the See of Rome. Carbolic is an old family name, which we have never forfeited .--The words *Popery* and *Papift* are peculiarly infultive. I am no Papitt, nor is my Religion Popery. The one and the other have no proper existence, but in the minrepresentations of our advertaries; something of them may perhaps be found in the kingdoms of Italy, Spain, and Portugal.

Such, I think, are the principal objections, which have hitherto reached me: But there is a class of men amongst us, whose opinions I wish to combat.—They are enemies to every species of writing on the business of Catholics. We should not, they say, raise the observation of the public; our security is in our obscurity; if noticed, the law may be called in to lash

us into filence; what fignify charges, however gross and defamatory; it is not poffible to remove the national odium; we are now unmoleited, who knows how long we may continue so, if we dare to shew our faces?

Such, and much more, is the language of these very prudent, very cautious, very provident, and very timid Gentlemen. Whilit the tumults of last summer were raging in the Metropolis, their voice was heard trembungly giving countel: "For God-fake, faid they, let us infaintly petition parliament to repeal this obnoxious bill; it is better to confess we are guilty of all the crimes laid to our charge, than to be burnt in our houses:" It was roondrous pitiful; and they dared to carry about e form of a petition to that effect, praying for the fignature of names! "We told you, continued they, what would be the event of your addresses to the threne, your ouths of allegiance, and your repeal of iaws.

How far, in certain circumilances, it might be advistable to keep filence, I will not pretend to fay. This I know, it is a conduct

viil PREFACE.

conduct we practifed for many years, but from it was never derived any good.-Silence may be construed into a conviction of guilt, as well as of innocence. Before the repeal of the AA of William we were not molested; that is, not perpetually molested, (for there were some very recent instances of fignal molestation) but our condition, at all times, was of that debafing and irksome nature, which would have justified the most active exertions. Shall I fit down filently fatisfied, because the good humour of a Magistrate chuses to indulge me; whilst there are laws of which any mifcreant has daily power to inforce the execution? My eafe, my property, and my life are at the dispotal of every villain, and I am to be pleased, because he is not at this time disposed to deprive me of them. To-morrow his humour may vary, and I shall then be obliged to hide my head in some dark corner, or to fly from this land of boarled liberty. It is furely better not to be, than to live in a state of such anxious and dreadful uncertainty.

However, as the eyes of the public are now open upon us, the reasons which, with

with some plausibility, might have been urged for filence, fubfift no longer. It is now our duty to fay, and to do every thing, that can keep up the public attention. The more we are viewed, the more our principles are weighed, and the more our conduct is scrutinized, the more will it appear, that we are deterving of every indulgence. Why is innocence to retire from inspection; and why is merit to fear the prying eye of the severest inquisition? I with to fee every element of our lives and principles most scrupulously analysed; and I will do my utmost to promote the work. I am not fanguine enough to imagine, that it will be in our power to extirpate the national odium, or to stop the cry of malignant defamation: But posterity may feel the good effects of our endeavours. At all events, when men feem cautious to avoid enquiry, a fuspicion is raised, either that they fear the result, or that there is fomething beneath the furface, which they wish not to expose to public infpection.—I would always avoid controvertial disputes about religion; because on these subjects every thing has been faid, which human ingenuity and real for religion could suggest: But as long

long as the question is, should this or that sect of Christians be tolerated or be perfecuted, it is the duty of every man to plead the cause of human nature.

I must not lay down my pen, without adverting to two recent publications, which I have just read: A Free Audress to the Protestant Petitioners, by a Lower of Peace and Truth, and Mr. Burke's Speech to the Electors of Bristol.—The Lover of Peace and Truth is, I am told, Dr. Priestley; the character indeed of the man is frongly marked in the publication; and never was any work better calculated to answer the purpose for which it was detigned; it is juttly levelled to the capacities of those, on whom it was intended to operate; it is plain, honest, unadorned, and christian. Nothing can point out more decifively the extent of Dr. Prieflley's abilities, than the facility with which he descends from the heights of scientifical enquiry, to the humble walks of moral instruction; in the same breath he reads lectures to the Philosopher, the Divine, and the Statefman, and he guides the lowly multitude to the practice of domestic and focial virtue. The tenets of our Church

he indeed treats with too much asperity: It is not the error of his heart; but he purfues, what he effects the corruptions of religion, with unremitting ardour. I have often converted with him: He is extremely liberal, and an enemy to every species of restraint on conscience. I blame him for his too free deviations from the received opinions of mankind. By this he has raifed up enemies; but all the liberty he takes to hin felf, he would give in the fullest latitude to others Had Dr. Prietlley no blemishes, he would foar, by the powers of his mind, beyond the reach of common nature; I view, ther fore, with malignant fatisfaction, the few foots which thinly darken his furface.

Mr. Burke's Specio, wherein he replies to the objections that had been made to his parliamentary conduct, is a manly composition. He had been principally blanch for the very decided part he took in the Catholic bill. He meets the charge, in its gratest strength, before his late Constituents; and he tells them, so far from reeing criminality in that conduct, he lorted in what he had done; because, in opposition to fanaticism and intolerant beautiful.

zeal, he had supported the rights of human nature, and aimed to emancipate a deserving body of sellow-citizens from the iron hand of oppression.—Mr. Burke! we thank you! May you be soon restored to those walls, which, in your absence, seem shorn of their brightest beams!

Lucem redde tuæ, Wir bone, patriæ:
Instar veris enim vultus ubi tuus
Affulsit populo, gratior it dies,
Et soles melius nitent. Horata

With every thinking man it must furely be a proof highly in our favour, that we have not a friend, in either house, whom honour and virtue do not call their friend. When I name the Chancellor, I name the first man in this, or perhaps in any other kingdom: And were I to name all those, who wish to give relief to Catholics, I think I should name whatever this nation has greatest to boast of, in liberality of fentiment, extent of abilities, love of liberty, and ardour to maintain the rights of mankind. Supported by these pillars, it is not possible we can fall; should we fall, ruin thus circumstanced would be more enviable than triumph.

PREFACE. xiii

I have only to beg the indulgence of my readers to the many faults which the following pages will exhibit. I was straitened for time, and my sources of information were scanty. However, I have done my best. Where my language is deficient, the man of candour will recollect that, when eleven years old I was sent to a foreign land for education, and did not return till after almost twenty years of miferable exile.

CARLTON, Dcc. 3, 1780.

LRRAIA.

l'age 8. Line 16. for would, read could.

P. 31. l. 6. ditto.

P. 43. l. 20. for rests, read rest.

P. 56. 1. 25. after the words, subs knew nothing of the first, put a full point.

P. 128. l. 1. after natural, read or.

THE

STATE and BEHAVIOUR

ENGLISH CATHOLICS

FROM THE

REFORMATION to the present Year 1780.

PART I.

INTRODUCTION.

HE riots which, some months ago, Introduction threatened destruction to the Capital of the British Empire, and the debates confequent thereupon in both houses of parliament, have given rife to much fpeculation. It is obvious to enquire, from whence such commotions could have arisen. Under the popular cry, No Pope y, an Afficiation had been formed; and the members of this affociation were the ottenlible agents in the confusion and devastation which succeeded. Was then an ap-A prehenfioa

Introduction prehension of the increase of Popery, front the indulgence Catholics had lately received, the real instrument which convened the Affociators, and which produced their tumultuous application to parliament? I am ready to believe that much of the evil which was done, in the demolition of private and of national property, was merely accidental; that it was effected by the horrid activity of fuch miscreants, as are ever ready, under the screen of popular commotion, to practife their bad defigns. Where no fufficient ground is given for fair supposition, it would be wrong to infer any preconcerted plan for general de-Some years hence, perhaps, we ftruction. may be better able to form a judgment.-I am also ready to allow, that the Protestant Association might be influenced by motives, to them of a cogent and weighty nature. They might feriously apprehend, from a supposed increase of Pop.rv, that danger threatened the established Church, and the civil Constitution of Great Britain. It became their duty therefore to take the alarm, and to petition for the repeal of an obnoxious act .- In reasoning on a dark fubject, I am willing to make all allowances that the circumstances of things, or the

the operation of human passions, can Introduction justify.

From a general view indeed of the characters of those men, who formed the Protestant Association, it will be more rational, I believe, to conclude, that they had no distinct object before them: For they were not qualified to combine ideas, or to project schemes of operation. To all appearance there never was fo illiterate and rude a multitude: But their minds, open to every impression, had been struck by an artful deteription of imaginary evils; and they followed blindly every impulse of their leaders. What were the views of these men, I pretend not to sav: Perhaps they also were feriously apprehensive; or perhaps, (which I should rather surpect to be the case) under the cover of fictitious dread, and of vain folicitude for the good of religion, they had formed their defigns, in which ambition or disappointed passion had a leading interest. But I wish not to hazard a decided opinion.

It is a reflection not easily reconcileable with the prefent advanced state of the human mind; however, I am much disposed

A 2

Introduction to believe that it was the dread alone of Popery which instigated the Associators. The records of bigotry and fanaticism will ever occupy a large space in the annals of mankind. A person but little acquainted with the general fentiments of Englishmen, in the business of religion, will be necessitated to draw the same conclusion. There still remains in the mind of almost every Protestant, from the highest to the lowest, from the best-informed to the most ignorant, from the infidel to the zealot, and from the fanatic to the man of cool reason, a rooted prejudice against the name of Catholic, which no time, I fear, or the efforts of philosophy, will ever erase. No fooner is the infant mind fusceptible of the flightest impression, than it is the bufiness of the nurse to paint a hideous form, and that she calls Popery. Every circumstance of horror, and all the scenery of glowing imagination, is called in to deck the curious phantom. Nor afterwards is it the aim of better judgment to remove this false impression; rather all the arts of declamation are fedulously employed to give it a more fixed and lafting permanency. Few men, I believe, are strangers to the inveterate obstinacy of such early notions.

At the beginning of what is called the Introduction Reformation, it was natural to expect that the old religion, against which such mighty defigns were formed, would be held out, by the new apostles, in colours best calculated to rouse every idea of distaste and deteflation. To have engaged in to adduous a work, without fuch allicance, would have been the extreme of folly. Fortunately for their defigns, the general corruption which had long prevailed a er she face of Christianity, afforded too aboundant matter for centure; and this circuma in a the Reformers well knew how to time to their own advantage. They willelly confounded abuses in practice with obuses in belief; afferting that the Christian facts had been corrupted, when they knew the adjuncts, that is, the inventions of men only were bad; and thus forcilly blanding together objects to really diffinet, they ungeneroutly drew a repre entation full of horror, on which role the whole fibric of the reformed religion .- Though I highly condemn the conduct of the first befor ners. it is not my intention to call confure on the Protestants of the present day: The cause is entirely their own: Nor is it wall my wish to enter into controvernal dispute.

The

Introduction The Christian world has wrangled toolong. But if the declaration of historical truth give offence, it is a proof that it has not been fufficiently urged.

> I wish to contemplate the revolutions in Church and State, with the cool indifference of philosophy. On every fide may be discovered many traces of similar pasfions; and very few events there are in either, wherein reason and the amiable influence of virtue had any leading concern. That great revolution, by which Christianity was introduced, is always to be excepted.—I allow, however, that much good was eventually derived to the Christian Church from the Reformation. The profestors of the old religion were roused to more active virtue; they faw the necessity of proper discrimination betwixt human inventions and divine institutions; and a spirit of universal enquiry was soon set on foot, the happy effects of which are now experienced. But the Reformers might have aimed at the correction of abuses, without touching, with profane hands, the vital substance; or surely they might have proceeded in a spirit of more moderation, and with less appearance of passion and

and interested zeal. Had they done so, Introduction their names had gone down with more reverence to the grave; and we should not now have to lament those feuds and deep animofities which have for ever divided the Christian world.

More than two centuries are now elapfed fince the first days of Reformation. It was natural to expect that long ago all that rancour and heated recrimination would have fubfided, which first animated the contending parties. When the Sectaries had firmly established themselves; that is, when their opinions had taken fast hold, had new-modelled the political constitutions of many kingdoms, and had made with them one connected and almost indiffoluble mass, they had nothing, it teems, further to apprehend. The policy therefore of representing Popery, with a hundred heads and a hundred arms, ready to devour and to destroy, subsisted no longer. Yet still the same arts of imposition were uted, and always with the fame fuccels.--It cannot indeed be denied but fresh incentives were foon added to keep up tha acrimony of old impressions, and many of these were of a complexion really alarmIntroduction

ing. The barbarities practifed by the Catholics on many, whole fole crime often was difference in belief, cannot be too much execrated; and the blood of innocence, which was then spilled, became the feed of fatal animofities. In those wars, indeed, in which whole provinces, and even kingdoms were engaged, and wherein, under the veil of zeal for religion, crimes of every description were perpetrated, equal blame, it feems, may be justly cast on both sides. It was often the bad policy of states, or the intemperate pretentions of faction, which gave rife to these contentions: Religion at least could have no concern, though her facred name was for ever blasphemed.—The unprejudiced man, if fuch a one there be, in perufing the annals of those bad days, will find abundant matter for indifcriminate reprehension; and he will close the page equally shocked and equally exasperated at the conduct of all parties.

Na review of the transactions of Henry VIII. I my own country, in matters of religion, (for I wish to confine myself within these limits) it is not dimeult, I think, to form a decided and just opinion. The Reformation was here introduced by means the most violent and oppressive. The tyrant Henry could use no other. Deprived of their property, persecuted in their perfons, and defamed in their reputation, could it be expected that English Catholics would, in filence, fortake the religion of their forefathers, however erroneous it had been, or, without reluctance, bow their heads to oppression? He indeed must be peculiarly clear-fighted who, through this whole reign, can discover, in any one instance, the genuine spirit of Chriflian Reformation. It was not, at least, by fuch means that primitive Christianity was established; though I know it is formetimes by pestilence and by storms that the benevolent designs of Providence are conducted. But I mean not to dwell longer on the events of this reign, when the cause of Catholics was the common cause of the nation. Moderate men are little inclined to give credit to the report

Henry VIII. of numberless crimes and flagitious enormities, of which they were accused; because the views of his Majesty and the rapacity of Courtiers wanted such a plea in vindication of their conduct.

Edward VI.

DURING the short period of Edward's reign, the work of Reformation went on, gradually acquiring form and permanency. Less severity was sometimes used, than the nation had before experienced; but moderation, at these times, was an unknown virtue. I would rather leave my friend in error, than make him a profelyte to truth by fuch means.-At the death of the late King, things were in great confusion; the old religion had been violently shaken, but the tenets of the new one were neither established nor even publicly known. Henry himfelf had been really no friend to the Reformers; impetuofity of temper had alone driven him to fuch outrageous attacks on a religion he interiorly reverenced; and by his last will he folemnly ordained, and charges all his fuccessors to take care, that Maffes be daily faid in the Chapel at Windfor, while the world shall endure.-

The

The friends to the Reformation faw the Edward VI. necessity of taking effectual measures. Great part of the Nobility, many of the Gentry, and the Bishops with the inferior Clergy, were still much attached to the ancient form of worship. The protector Somerfet, and Cranmer, that ductile and time-ferving Prieft, almost fingly engaged in the holy work, and they fucceeded. All opposition was weak against the excessive power of such crafty and formidable Minuters. Some fruitless attempts were made; but it now appeared, that the establishment of the new religion, was the only means of fecuring to the first occupiers the possession of the Church-wealth they had already laid their hands on; it would also open a door to new acquifitions from the same quarter. This it was, and not love for religion, that fo well promoted the reforming fcheme-and not only the revenues of the Church, but the libraries also, underwent a dreadful ferutiny. Those of Westminfler and Oxford were ordered to be ranfacked, and purged of all Romish superstition. Many of the most valuable books. even of human literature, were plated with gold and filver. "This, as far as B 2 we

Edward VI. we can guess, says Collier, was the superstition which destroyed them." Works of Geometry and Astronomy were at once known to contain magic, this was rank Popery; and they threw them into the slames. The universities, unable to stop the sury of these worthy Resormers, silently looked on, and trembled for their own security.

Mary.

AS I condemn the boisterous violence of Henry, and the unpopular and gothic conduct of Edward's Ministers, so do I condemn the proceedings of Mary, who by ways equally reprehensible, aimed to restore what her father and infant brother had overthrown. They, and their Counfellors, were alike strangers to the dictates of reason and to the genuine principles of true religion. Yet it cannot feen strange, if such Catholics as had remained firmly attached to the old worthip, eagerly embraced the first occasion of reinstating themselves. In so doing passion would too often intervene; nor was it an eafy task to refrain from some retaliation, whili the wounds they had received were fresl and bleeding.—But nothing furely can be more

Mary.

more uncandid than the reflections of Protestants, when they speak of these times. They can ascribe the mad conduct of Henry to the impulse of violent passer; but in Mary they pretend to see not but a mind contracted, as they say, by the bigoted and singuinary principles of her religion. I hate a man thus macrably partial to his own cause.

It is worth notice, that Sir Thomas Wyatt, who headed a fermidable infurrection against Mary, was himself a Catholic. A treaty of marriage had been concluded betwirt the Queen and Philip of Spain. No step, it was judged, could be better calculated to support the cause of Catholicity; but it was by some feared that England had much reason to be jealous of so close a connexion with a crown, whose great ambition now as med at universal monarchy: Hurried on by an impulse of rash patriotism, Wyat therefore rose in arms. The love of the connectation.

1N the year 1558, Elizabeth afcended the throne of England. At this time begins

Elizabeti

Elizabeth.

gins the real era of English Reformation: and confequently from this time Catholics are to be confidered as a fect, diffenting from the national Church. -To enter on a minute detail of the many events, in the line of religious politics, which rapidly fucceeded one another, during this long reign, would carry me too far; but I shall not willingly omit any circumstance which can ferve to mark the real character of The most rigorous penal laws Catholics. were now enacted against them, and they were carried into execution under various pretences. They were accused of sedition, and of engaging in the most unremitted attempts against the person of their Sovereign and the established religion, with a view to introduce a Popish successor, and, on the ruins of Protestantism, to re-establish the Catholic faith. I will not fay that no Catholics were ever guilty of these crimes. It could not possibly be otherwise: for they were men, and they had the passions of men. What man, when he either thinks himfelf ill-used, or really is so, will not strive to gain redreis? Un ver de terre se resent, quand on lui marche, faid, at this time, a much-injured Princess, in a letter addresfed to her cruel perfecutrix: But the body

of Catholics, which was then very confiderable, never engaged in, and never encouraged, any schemes of sedition or treafon. Yet, surely, no condition was ever more humiliating than theirs; and if they did not ardently look forward to any event that might give them relief, oppression must have deadened every feeling of nature!

Elizabeth.

Plots, whether real or fictitious, in the hands of an able politician, are those fortunate engines, which he will know how to turn to every possible use. The darknefs in which they are involved supplies the greatest latitude of interpretation. If real, as plots are feldom attended with fuccels, the arm of government will be flrengthened by their detection, and notice will be given for the application of fuch remedies as may feem necessary to the support of the state. Its desects or weak parts are now laid open. The heads of feditious intrigue will either be taken off, or will be fecured against further attempts: Faction will be broken. The ruling powers have then acquired a more firm and extensive energy.—Fictitious plots are attended with still greater advantages. By

Elizabeth.

their means some devoted party may be marked out, and be configued to a fatal and national odium. At that moment the statesman's hand is armed with a potent wand, whereby he will be able to conjure up all the spirits of the deep. He will gratify his creatures with the forfeited spoils of the unhappy sufferers; private animofitics will find room for the exertion of refentment; revenge and all the. passions of interest will know no bounds. In the mean-time the attention of the credulous and unfufpecting multitude is caught; an impression is made; and their minds are raited to the view of dreadful dangers and imaginary horrors. - The crafty minister will probably seize this critical hour for carrying into execution ionie favourite and unpopular defign.

Such phantom-plots are with us no new device. We may fee them practifed in every reign: But the Catholics of England, from the tune of the Reformation, have felt their fatal effects in fullest measure. The religion they professed was directly contrary to the statutes of the nation: Being compelled to feek for education in foreign countries, they easily fell under fuspicions

fuspicions of being in the interest of those Elizabeth. Princes, who had given them protection: They admitted, as a part of their religious belief, a certain supremacy of jurisdiction in the Roman Pontiff, which, though in itself no real cause of jealousy, was then often misconceived, and sometimes very improperly exercifed; in a word, they were oppressed, and therefore not without reason suspected of an habitual inclination to fhake off the galling chain, whenever occation should offer. Thus circumstanced, the condition of Catholics became a common repertory, from whence it was easy to draw such plot-materials, as the views of party or the fituation of things feemed most to require.

Their condition was not intolerably grievous till the year 1569, the 11th of Elizabeth, when the misbehaviour of a few men drew a perfecution on the whole body, and occasioned those penal and finguinary laws, to which their propert and lives have been ever fince expeted. I'm n that time, by a flrange pervertion of the common rules of reatoning, a Catholic and a Robel have been viewed as fynonymous objects; and infamy was flamped on the Elizabeth.

name.—An infurrection, under the Earls of Northumberland and Westmorland, two Catholic Peers, was raised in the North. Discontented from various causes, but under pretence of redressing the public grievances, and of supporting the old religion, they took up arms. They were joined by a confiderable body of their dependents and northern friends: but the Catholics of the other parts of the kingdom, as our best historians agree, publicly declared against them, and loyally offered their lives and their purses for the defence of her Majesty. The rebellion was soon crushed; but government had now an handle given them, the Catholics were doomed to destruction, and the laws of the 13th of Elizabeth were framed against them.

By these acts, religion and civil allegiance were so artfully blended, that an impeachment in either served both purposes; and a constant fund was established for the manufacturing of plots, when the national politics called for a stratagem. An occasion soon offered. A treaty of marriage had been for some time carried on between the Queen and the French Duke of Anjou. Ministry disliked the alliance, and the subtle

fubtle Walfingham was refolved to obstruct Elizabeth. it. It might be prejudicial to the Reformation; or at least it might procure some toleration for Catholics. The determination was to make the Duke odious to the English nation. A rumour was spread abroad of a deep defign. It was faid, that in the Colleges at Rheims and Rome, to which places the Catholics had been compelled to retire for education, a plot had been formed to subvert the government, and to defirov the Queen. To accomplish this grand purpose, the Priests had engaged themselves by a solemn oath before the Bishop of Rome.—Never was there a more groundless charge; for I do not find, that it possessed one single atom of the most distant truth. But the Minister had provided himfelf with a mifereant band of witnesses, who were ready for any work. Their names and characters are upon record. Hypocritical, indigent, and abandoned, they had not the smallest remains of reputation left amongst them. The nation was, however, well dispoted to give credit. Some Priests were found guilty, condemned, and executed. This, fays Camden, was a politic stroke; the apprehensions of a great many were appea-C 2 ied;

Elizabeth. fed; and the ferment about the Duke of Anjou subsided. The alliance, they said, had threatened ruin to the Protestant Religion.—Few years passed afterwards without the execution of one or more Churchmen of the Catholic perfuafion. They were held out to the people as traitors; and fuch indeed they were; for the laws had now declared the profession of their religion to be Treason against the State.

> The next defigns, of a feditious nature, with which Catholics were charged, were of being concerned in Babington's plot in the year 1586, and in the great Spanish Armament two years after.—A few Gentlemen, about fourteen in number, of moderate fortunes, and of fome interest in their neighbourhood, fired at the ignominious treatment, which the amiable Mary had so long experienced from the hands of Elizabeth, refolved to attempt the refcue of the Captive Queen. There was one priest in the conspiracy. Walfingham was well apprifed of their whole scheme, and he had his fpies amongst them sedulously employed to urge on the execution. When the plot was ripe for discovery, it was not difficult to seize the delinquents: Their names,

names, haunts, and places of abode were Elizabeth. all known to the Minister. At their examination they were charged with the defign of attempting to release the scottath Queen, encouraging an invation, and affaffinating their Sovereign. They were condemned and executed.—The Duke of Norfolk, a Protestant, had some years before engaged in a fimilar project, as far at least as it regarded the release of Mary, whom he loved. He also had fuffered .-If we except the confpirators themterees, no other Catholics were engaged in the plot, or at all acquainted with it; yet occation was taken to put the laws against them into feverer execution. Mary herfelf was foon after brought to the block, and Elizabeth was freed from a hated rival. Her chief guilt was flagrant: She was in pofferion of some personal charms, which nature had denied to the English Queen. Mary had a finer shape; her countenance was more expressive; and her step in dancing, it is faid, was more graceful. Elizabeth could not brook this partial indulgence of nature: the sceptre of England was hardly worth policiting, if the were not also thought the Queen of Beauty. Mary had no other crime; for furely it could

Elizabeth.

could be no crime, after twenty years fevere confinement, to have concerted with Babington the best measures for the recovery of her liberty! If the Conspirators had really formed any defign of feizing and of affaffinating their own Sovereign, which I do not think they ever did, it was undoubtedly flagitious; but scarcely more fo, than was the defign of Elizabeth and her friends against the life of Mary of Scotland. At all events, how could Catholies be charged with an attempt, in which they had no concern? As well might the Protestants of England have been accused of treasonable practices, because a Duke of their religion, with some affociates of the fame perfuation, had embarked in a scheme, which had been construed into treason. Norsolk wished to deliver, and then to present his hand to Mary; the less interested Babington had no views but to refcue her from captivity. And this was a crime for which the Catholics of England were to be devoted to destruction!

In regard to the intended invasion from Spain, we were, if possible, still less concerned than in the plot just mentioned.

The

The Conspirators were Catholics: But Elizabeth. the Invincible Armada had no claim to their friendthip; unless, because Catholics professed the religion of the invaders, they must be supposed to have abetted their defign'. The Spanish Manifesto declares the motives of this expedition: It was to chastife the English for the aslistance they had given to the rebels in the Netherlands; to retaliate for the many depredations committed by them on the coasts of Spain and America; and to revenge the infult which had been offered to the dignity of all crowned heads by the barbarous murder of Mary Queen of Scots. Some views of a religious tendency might also have intervened, but they constituted no leading object. To the English Catholics no application had been made for their concurrence; on the contrary, the Spanith Monarch refused to employ those few Catholic soldiers of fortune who were then in his dominions; for though they eat their bread from his table, he durst not, he said, trust them in any attempt against England. Yet did this formidable expedition prove more unfortunate to the Catholic party, than it did to the English nation. Providence confpiring

Elizabeth. conspiring with British valour, the Armada was funk and diffipated; when Elizabeth, in imitation of those ancient nations, I suppose, who delighted in the practice, refolved to return thanks to the Deity in a facrifice of human victims. The Catholics were ordered over to a general profecution; great numbers were imprisoned, and above forty Priests were publicly butchered in feveral parts of the kingdom; whilft the pulpit and the prefs were employed in reprefenting them as the authors and abettors of the intended invation. I have before me a faithful narrative of the trials of those who suffered; and if any confidence can be placed in the folemn protestations of dying men, I venture to declare, there was not the fmallest guilt amongst them.

> On all these public occasions, the English Catholics being clear from any imputation of real guilt, the attempts of particular persons, either against the Queen or her government, cannot, with the least semblance of equity, be laid to their charge. Hard indeed would be the fate of mankind, if whole focieties were made answerable for the criminal conduct of a few

few of their members! Yet fo, I think, Elizabeth. it fometimes was during the reign of Elizabeth. Occasion being taken from the events I have mentioned, a code of laws, as has been feen, was made against Catholics. By these their property, liberty, and lives became obnoxious to profecution. To worship God, after the old form, was prohibited in public and in private; and the prifons were filled with Delinquents, whole fole crime was praving in the manner their conferences directed. They were not allowed to educate their children in the schools at home, unless they renounced their religion; and to fend them abroad was made a crime of the most heinous nature. Their foreign schools were termed nurseries of rebellion. They were excluded not only from all places of public trust; but were not even permitted to improve their parts or their private fortunes by the practice of law or physic. Their families were thus reduced to the lowest circumstances, and fome of the best blood in England was devoted to beggary; yet to move more than five miles from the fid fpot, where their ancestors had lived in eafe and splendor, was even forbid under the D

feverett

Elizabeth, feverest penalties. To receive the order of Priesthood abroad, to exercise any spiritual functions in her Majesty's dominions, to be reconciled to the ancient faith, or even to affist in such a reconciliation, were by an English parliament, at the end of the fixteenth century, constituted acts of high treason against the ftate!-Such, in a general view, were the laws framed against Catholics in a Protestant country; yet I will take i upon me to affert that, during the long period of forty four years, whilst Elizabeth, to the great political glory of England, fwayed the Sceptre, her Popish subjects, though oppressed and persecuted were not guilty of one act of treason, sedition, or rebellion.

> I have taken no notice of the famou Bull of Pius the Fifth, which excommu nicated Elizabeth, and abtolved her fub jects from their allegimee, because i was never accepted by the English Catho lies; nor was it ever fignified to them in any legal or canonical manner: It has not therefore the least influence on their conduct. They univertally acknowledged her title; prayed for her; fought for her:

her; and upon every occation were ready Elizabeth. to support her dignity and defend her civil rights. They only did not think her the spiritual head of their church.— The power of deposing Princes which Pius affumed, and which other Pontiffs had before him often exercised, was a part of that prerogative, which arrogant ambition had uturped, and which, for a long time, the weakness or ignorance of mankind durft not infringe. Purer notions of religion, and improved politics, have now taught the See of Rome more moderation and better maxims.

IN 1603, James the Pirit was called James I. to the throne of England. This was an event which the Catholics had long ordently withed for, and on it they had built the most fanguine e. r cutions. From the natural milancis of his temper, from the favours he had received from Catholic Princes, and from a recollection, which was not efficed, or the warm attachment they had ever shown for his mother, they certainly had reason to expect more humane treatment and fome marks of indulgence. James was a friend to tole-D 2 ration;

James I. ration; he wished to conciliate all parties; he had studied religion; and he well knew, from repeated trials of their untractable humour, that he had much more to fear from the Disciples of Calvin, than from the Catholics of any country. The doctrines of those men had now acquired an extensive influence; and the established Church began already to feel their impression. "Let men be punished for actions, taid he, and not for opinions." It was a just observation, but it gave offence. The ruling party alone thought they had a claim to protection.—The English Ministry were aware of his favourable disposition to Catholics, and they strove to avert its effects. He was therefore prevailed on, foon after his arrival, to iffue a proclamation for banishing all Jesuits and Seminary Priests; and a statute was also enacted, ordering the penal laws of Elizabeth to be put in execution. It is, however, well known that he did not mean things should proceed to extremities. The Stuarts had not in their composition one fibre of that stern texture, which had marked the Tudor race of

Kings;

Kings; but it was no eafy task to ma- James I. nage the testy humour of the nation.

Great was the disppointment of the Catholic party, when they raw at once all their bright schemes of happiness dashed in process, and themselves again exposed to severity and oppression. The King, they saw, however well disposed, either wanted fortitude or power to besired them; and the Puritans, from whom they had every thing to dread, were daily gaining strength and energy. Nothing therefore remained but to resign themselves to a fate they could not avert; and to this they silently submitted.

In every fociety will be found men of reillers diffortions, of defperate fortunes, and of during character. Such there now were amongst the Catholes and by them was concerted one of the most determined, but most wild and actarious fenemes, ever heard of in the annuls of any nation. This was the guageweler plot: By which, had it succeeded, the King and both houses of parliament had been defroyed at one blow. The ufth of November, 1005, the third year of his

James I. his Majesty's reign, was the day appointed for its execution. Providence again singularly interfered, and the nation was saved from so dreadful a catastrophe.

The spirit of desperation, or of complete wickedness, seems alone to have planned this grand scheme of destruction. In no part are discoverable any views of policy; no project of a revolution had been formed; no foreign invalion was ready to fecond their attempts; their own party, that is, the party of Catholics, was not apprifed; nor had they prepared any one measure for further operations. The Conspirators, when most numerous, including their fervants, did not exceed eighty; and above twenty Catholic Peers fat, at that time, in the upper house, who furely were not acquainted with the defign. A report had indeed been privately circulated, that fomething, they knew not what, was in agitation for the good of Catholicity.—If the Conspirators really intended to ferve their brethren, never was there a more misjudged project: For, in either case, of success or detection, their ruin was inevitable.—It has been by fome thought

thought that the enemies to the Catholics, James I. apprehensive of the King's favourable difpositions to them, had a principal hand in the plot; and that Cecil well understood its whole rife and progress. So much at least is certain, that no event would have happened to agreeable to the views of their enemics, or so dreadfully fatal to the Catholic cause. Its effects are very sensibly . felt to this day. A feast was politically instituted to perpetuate its memory; to the Catholics was imputed its whole atrocity: nor has the fullest evidence of their innocence contributed to wipe off the foul afperfion; or their most folemn protestations been able to remove the imputation, that they are prone to fedition, foes to public tranquillity, and fond of blood. Yet the moderate part of the nation, which unfortunately was but fmall, did not then think them guilty; and the King, in his proclimation for apprehending the Conipirators, declares it to have been the defign only of a few desperate men.

The hatred of the nation against Catholies now knew no bounds; and nothing but the utter extinction of that devoted party feemed capable of fatisfying their James I. rage. James alone, with a becoming refolution, though it was not his usual conduct, rejected all measures of violence, and was the protector of innocence. Yet again he consented to new laws against Popish Recusants, which are those of the third of James. I am shocked at the view of such infamous proceedings; nor do I wish to disguise my feelings. A few miscreants had engaged in an infamous confederacy, in which the multitude had no participation, and which they execrated; yet are the latter also punished, and handed down to posterity in the darkest colours of guilt!

Two years after this wretched event, with a view to afcertain the real fentiments of Casholics, (at least in the intentions of the King) a scheme was set on foot, which seemed well calculated to answer the purpose. An oath of allegiance, it was said, would be a proper test of the sincerity of their declarations. An oath was consequently prepared; but it was drawn up in terms, either from design or ignorance, which were likely to raise difficulties, and to perplex the tender consciences of the best disposed. If ministry meant it, their views

views were completely answered. - It James I. should feem, as if they who framed it (a threwd Priest and Archbithop Bancroft) well knew where principally lay the point of nicety; and that they withed rather to divide, than to conciliate, the party. As foon as it was proposed, great disputes arose about the lawfulness of the oath: By some it was approved, and taken, whilst others, equally well inclined in their political fentiments, confidered it as infidiously worded, and as bearing hard on tenets, in which they thought religion was concerned. The Nonjurors, who were far most numerous, were by these means exposed to daily vexations; and occasion was given for militeprefenting them as difaffected perfons, whose professions of attachment to the civil establishment were not to be trusted. Appearances, in the eye of the nation, were now certainly against them; but it is a truth, that no people could be more firmly attached to King and Covernment, than Catholies then were; but they were diffurbed with difficulties, which at this time make no impression. To complete the bunnefs, the Roman Pontifi, ever jealous of any attack on his supposed prerogative, very inopportunely interfered, and \mathbf{E} wholls

James I. wholly frustrated a scheme, the success of which, delicacy of conscience alone had at first obstructed.

During the remaining part of this reign, no material alteration took place in the affairs of Catholics. No new feverities were practifed, or laws enacted, against them; but those already made were occafionally put in execution; for I have before me a list of thirteen Priests who were hanged for the exercise of their sacerdotal functions. Every attempt the King made to mitigate the feverity of these laws, or to give relief to his Catholic subjects, was loudly opposed, and he was accused of being very improperly disposed to favour them. Whilft the marriage treaty betwixt his Son and the Infanta of Spain was going on, in the year 1623, some secret articles were propoted, and James feemed determined to protecute his favourite plan for general toleration. This, however, was warmly opposed by Abbot and others of the Puritanical faction; and as the treaty foon broke off, the Catholics were unrelieved .- In this year a flaming petition, from both houses of parliament, against Populo Recogants, was presented to the

the King, praying for the most active exe- James I. cution of the laws against them. They had been charged with no new crime; but James had allowed them to breathe with some liberty, and this, in their estimation, was too great an indulgence. "Such an execution of justice, said they, will much advance the glory of Almighty God." These men should have been High Priests to Moloch, in the vale of Hinnom. The King faw into the spirit which had distated this petition. He infwered by profeffing his warmed attachment to the Protestant religion; that he would cautiously guard against any undue relaxation of the liws; but that "like a good horseman, he must be allowed foractions to use the reins, and not always the spurs.—I am an enemy, continued he, to perfecution; and have ever thought that no way more increated any religion than perfecution; ianguis martyrum est semen Ecclesia."-James we a divine as well as a politician. He died the year following.

ON

Charles I.

ON the accession of Charles, the Catholics were again in some expectation of case, from his marriage with Henrietta, Princess of France. She was daughter to the great and good Henry the Fourth, and had been educated in a court which had long experienced the direful effects of religious discord. It was therefore hoped the might bring peace to the contending factions of England. Apprehenfive of so enviable an event, the jealousy of the Puritans was roused to a more active exertion. These were the men who now began to take the lead in all public affairs; and the young King's court, fays Burnet, was full of them. They demanded the execution of the penal laws. A proclamation to this effect was iffued; and, in the year 1627, a fevere statute was enacted, conformable to the first of James, repeating the prohibition of foreign education. Certain officers, named Pursuivants, were likewise appointed, who had almost an unlimited power to enter the houses of Catholics, and to diffrefs them at will. The most groundless rumours were raised, and induthriously circulated. The Papists, it was faid, were forming, I know not what plot,

plot, against the King and Archbishop Charles ?. Laud; they were creating a mifunderstanding betwixt his Majesty and the parliament; and they were infligating the Scots to attempt the ruin of the establithed Church! Thete were bold calumnies; but they had their effect: For, under this difguife, the real promoters of fedition were fereened from observation, whilst the guilt was ascribed to a party, which had been long the object of popular odium. The Puritans thus played an artful game: Had they made an open attack on Church and State, the nation might have taken a timely alarm; but conducting their deep scheme under the flow of oppoling the encroachments of Poperv, their fuccess was certain. The friends to Charles and to their country faw, at laft, the full tendency of thefe machinations; but it was then too late to them the raging torrent.—In this manner, during the fixteen first years of his Majefly's reign, was the kingdom perputually harafied with the cry of Papery; and no fituation could be more diffreffing than that of the Catholics. Guilty of no one crime, they were accused of all. Some confolation indeed they might draw from

Charles I. from the reflexion, that as they were principally hated by the ruling faction, it was obvious they were well affected to the King, and friends to religion and virtue.

When the rebellion began, though now there was little want of difguise, yet the fame methods were invariably practifed. Pym, at the opening of the Long Parliament in the year 1640, among the grievances which he enumerated, complained loudly of the suspension of the laws against Popery; and among other acts of royal power, which this Parliament foon assumed, they issued orders for demolishing all images, altars, and crucifixes. It was the crown, however, and the mitre which these zealous men principally aimed at. Croffes were then removed from the streets and markets; and no two pieces of wood or stone, says an ingenious writer, were permitted to lie quietly over each other at right angles. Alarms of the most shocking nature were propagated: Meetings, it was reported, were held by the Papists in immense a caves in Surrey. These caves did not exist, but the belief of them was the same. A Plot of a fingular tendency was de- Charles 1. vised: London and Westminster were to fink in one common ruin. The Papifts, therefore, had laid vaft trains of gunpowder to blow up the Thames. Fortunately the powder got wet, and the lives of his Majesty's Protestant subjects were faved from destruction !- When the King went down to the house to demand the five members he had accused of treason, this breach of privilege, as it was called, was ascribed to the bloody counsels of Papists. And when, a few days after, he retired to Hampton-Court, a petition from the apprentices and porters warned the house of the danger to which their religion was expoted; whillt a brewer's wife, followed by many thousands of her fex, also brought up a petition, expressing in the thronged language their terrors of Poperv!

When the royal army took the field, the cry of Popery was echoed through the kinglom. "His Majesty, said the Rebels in their declaration, seduced by wicked counsellors, has raised a great army of Papists to destroy the parliament, and to bring in Popery and Tyranny."

The

Charles I. The Catholics indeed were firm in the

royal cause; but their personal services were not accepted, till fuch time as the parliament had itself offered them commissions in their own army. This being known, Charles difregarding all further imputation of being popishly inclined, invited them to his standard. They received commissions to raise companies and regiments. This they did at their own expence, bringing along with them their fons, tenants, and neighbours: Nor, from the fight at Edgehill till the day of the reftoration, did they ever defert the royal party.—I have now before me a lift of fix Lieutenant-Generals, eighteen Colonels, fixteen Lieutenant-Colonels, fixteen Majors, fixty nine Captains, fourteen Lieutenants, five Cornets, and fifty Gentlemen Volunteers, of the Catholic perfuation, who loft their lives in defence of his Majesty and of the established constitution in Church and State. The feverest oppression, to which, for almost a century, they had been constantly exposed, had not been able to extinguish in their breasts the spirit of real patriotifin

After

After the fatal defeat at Worcester, in Charles I. 1651, when all the royal party was either killed, taken, or difperfed, the young Charles was fucceflively, for the space of fix whole days, in the hands of more than fifty Catholies, not one of whom, either from fear of punithment or from prospect of gain, could be prevailed on to betray their Prince. Yet many of these were in very low condition. The name of Pendrel will be ever memorable in the annals of Loyalty.

THE Commonwealth being established, (as by the subversion of the regal and epilconal order, the grand object of purfuit, was finally fettled) the cry against Popery feemed to jubiide, and the Catholics become confounded in the common mass of thoic who were thought enemies to the new form of government. What they now fuffered was more on account of loyalty than of icligion. To conciliate the milections of all men was with Cromwell a leading object; he well knew it was only by fuch means that his usurped anthority could fland. Though no flep could have proved more difagreeable to the enthulialin of his party; yet it appears he had ferious

thoughts.

The Commonwealth. thoughts of granting a general toleration in religion. Had he done so, and then fupported his measures with all that firmness of which he was master, perhaps the Commonwealth of England might have stood to this day. Cromwell had a conference with some few of the Catholic perfuasion; they were unauthorized, I find, by their brethren; but, induced by the general aspect of affairs, they thought it good policy to make the best provision for themselves. Sincerity was not one of the Protector's virtues; at all events, he required from those Gentlemen such oaths and engagements, as they were not inclined to accept. In the general body of Catholics there ever remained a thern tpirit of loyalty, which no threats or allurements could vanquish. The Protestants of the same faction were equally sleady. Yet by fome writers Catholics have been reprefented as deferters from the cause: It has been faid, they made their court to the Usurper. It was the wish, perhaps, of these men to fereen, if possible, what they thought the wrong behaviour of fome of their own friends, by criminating the innocent. Even Clarendon very roundly infinuates the same charge against the Catholics.

tholics. I am confident he knew it was not fo, at least in an extensive application: But it should seem, as if the noble author were jealous that the praise of loyalty, of which himfelf had to ample a thare, should be given to a party, whom he never liked. It is not from any romantic ideas of the virtue of loyalty that I fay this; for I really think that Catholics, as matters then flood, would have done well to have joined the Protector, had he given them certain affurances of support. They had experienced how little was to be expeded from the bounty of Kings; and befides, with the approbation of the major part of the nation, the form of government was altered; confequently the criminality

of rebellion was done away. My views then in representing the uniform adhesion of Catholics to King Charles rest holely on the conviction of its truth. In other respects, I am not ashamed to say, that the government which is best inclined to give us protection, has the only right to

demand our allegiance.

The Commonwealth

F 2 AT

Charles II.

AT the Refloration, in the year 1660, an august and splendid scene opened upon the nation, in the bleffings of which Catholics had again reason to expect a participation. It was the King's first with to grant indulgence to the Proteflant Diffenters. This he had folemnly promifed beforc his embarkation for England: he had belides much reason to fear the turbulence of their minds, unless it should be appeated by fome favourable concellions. The parliament, now outrageously loval, opposed every attempt for their relief: They withed to fee the Church of England reflored to its primitive splendor; and they withed to cast down the aspiring thoughts of the Sectaries. Charles, however, from motives of the best policy, was determined to be their friend.

Nothing was at first done for the Catholics; yet their pretentions were great, and they seemed to look for a proportionable indulgence. "It was the King's defire, says Clarendon, which he never diffembled, to give them ease from all the sanguinary laws." Without importunity or complaint, had they patiently waited this event, they might possibly have soon recovered

recovered all the common privileges of Charles II. subjects. "For, adds my noble author, that gracious disposition in the King to his Catholic subjects, did not then appear ingrateful to any." But the vanity and prefumption of some of them was great; they scized every opportunity of extolling their own loyalty; and they tpoke of their fufferings in the Royal Caufe as deferving of more than common notice. It is true, e. I have already observed, they had done much. His Lordship even owns, that time of those, who had suffered most for his father, did fend supplies to the King when he was abroad; "though, fays he, they were hardly able to provide necessaries for themtelves."

An address being made to the House of Peers, the year after the Refloration, for fome relaxation of the laws against them, a committee of that home was appointed to examine and to report all those pend siatutes, which reached to the taking away the life of any Catholic for his religion: "There not appearing one Lord in the hour, who feemed to be unwilling that those lews should be repealed." After the committee was appointed, the Catholic Lords and their

Charles II. their friends, for fome days, diligently attended it, and made their observations on several acts of parliament, in which they desired ease. "But on a sudden this committee was discontinued, and never after revived; the Roman Catholics never afterwards being solicitous for it."

The truth is, they very foon quarrelled amongst themselves. The Lords and men of estates, little anxious about the abolition of laws, which concerned principally the lives of Priests, defired rather a repeal of those, whereby their own property, as Recufants, was affected. The churchmen, on the other hand, were nor much folicitous about the removal of laws, by which fomctimes they might gain the glory of martyrdom, whilst they continued under restraints more grievous sar than death.—A committee was then chofen from among themselves of the superiors of all orders, and of the fecular Clergy. They met at Arundell House, along with some of the principal Lords and Gentlemen. Here also disputes soon began, and they difagreed about the form of an oath or fubicription, which it was intended thould be made or taken by all Catholics. Catholics. A proposition had likewise Charles II. been made, that none but secular Priests should be tolerated in England, who should be under a Bishop and a settled form of government; and that all the regulars, in particular all Jesuits, should be, under the strictest penalties, forbidden the kingdom. The committee, as was natural to expect, was dissolved, and met no more.

From this time, owing to the imprudence of fome, and the infolence of others, as alto from that rooted dislike which the nation had not loft, Catholics again became common objects of aversion. They were regarded with an eye of peculiar jealoufy from that known propention, which the king felt and ever expressed for them. Herein at least can be discovered no symptom of that ungrateful disposition, which, is said, so ilroughy to have marked the character of Charles. In his declaration for liberty of confeience to the Diffenters in 1662. he fays, "It is divulged, through the kingdom, that we are highly indulgent to Papitls, not only in exempting them from the penalties of the law, but even Charles II. to fuch a degree of countenance and encouragement as may endanger the Protestant Religion.—It is true that, as we shall always, according to our justice, retain, fo we think it may become us, to avow to the world the due sense we have, of the greatest part of our Catholic subjects of this kingdom, having deferved well of our royal father, of blefied memory, and from us, and even from the Protestant Religion itself, in adhering to us with their lives and fortunes, for the maintenance of our crown in the religion established, against those who, under the name of zealous Protestants, employed both fire and fword to overthrow them both.—Such are the capital laws in force against them, as that, though justified in their rigour by the times wherein they were made, we profess it would be grieyous to us to confent to the execution of them, by putting any of our subjects to death for their opinion in matters of religion only. But if, upon our expreffing (according to Christian charity) our diflike of bloodshed for religion, and our gracious intentions to our Roman Catholic fubjects, Priests shall take the

boldness to appear, and avow themselves,

to the offence and feandal of good Pro- Charles II. testants, and of the laws in force against them; they shall quickly find, we know as well to be fevere, when wisdom requires it, as indulgent, when charity and fense of merit challenge it from us." This declaration, the most zealous Proteilant must allow, is replete with good fense, and breathes that spirit of justice and love of order, which should ever animate the breatls of Princes: It also shews in what light the King confidered the fervices he had received from his Catholic tubica.

In his speech to parliament, the year following, he again fays, "The truth is, I am in my nature an enemy to all teverity for religion and confeience, how mistaken soever it be, when it extends to capital and fanguinary punithments, which I am told began in Popish times. Therefore, when I fay this, I hope I shall not need to warn any here, not to infer from thence, I mean to favour Peperv. I must confeis to you, there are many of that protettion, who, having ferved m: father, and myfelf very well, may fairly hope for fome part of that indulgence, I

would willingly afford to others, who Charles II. dissent from us. But let me explain myfelf, lest some mistake me herein, as I hear they did in my declaration. I am far from meaning by this a toleration, or qualifying them thereby to hold any offices or places in the government. Nay farther, I defire some laws may be made to hinder the growth and progress of their doctrines."-In consequence of the last clause, a petition was presented from both houses that he would issue a proclamation, commanding all Jesuits and Priests to depart the kingdom by a day, under pain of having the penalties of the laws inflicted on them. To this the King

confented.

The next year, 1664, a defign was formed, which came from the King himfelf, of bringing a bill into parliament, feriously meant to serve the Catholics, by putting them on that footing of ease and security, which their conduct, as good subjects, he thought merited. Measures of ascertaining their numbers had been previously taken, that the most violent might know there was nothing to be feared from so inconsiderable a body. He

wished also that a distinction should be Charles II. made betwixt those, who, being of ancient extraction, had continued of the fame religion from father to fon. and those who became Proselytes to the Catholic Church. In the new bill it was intended to provide against such changes in religion. The King had likewife refolved to contract and leffen the number of Priests, and to reduce them into such order, that he might himself know all their names, and their feveral places of residence in the kingdom. "This meafure, fays Clarendon, must have produced fuch a fecurity to those who staved, and to those with whom they stayed, as would have fet them free from any apprehension of any penalties imposed by preceding parliaments."-But this delign, which comprehended many other particulars, from the perverse opposition of some weak heads of the party, vanished as soon as it was discovered. Moderate men, who defired nothing but the exercise of their religion in great fecrecy, and a suspension of the laws, were cruelly disappointed, and in their conferences with the King

often complained " of the folly and vanity of some of their friends, and more G_2

particularly

Jesuits." All further thoughts of the bill were now dropt, nor was there ever after mention of it.

From this view it may be justly inferred, that the Catholics at that time were their own greatest enemies. The King was decidedly their friend; the Courtiers, funk in eafe and luxury, laughed at all religion, and only wished to humour their Prince; the friends to Episcopacy and Monarchy, that is, the established Church, were not much inclined to oppose a party, who, they knew, would be ever ready to join them against the encroachments of the Scctaries; the Diffenters themselves, tho' enemies to the name of Catholic, now dared not speak out, whilst themselves were waiting redress from the crown; and the nation at large, just breathing from the horrors of civil commotions, wished not to be again exposed to the view of discord and contention. In such circumstances, nothing, it feems, could obstruct their prospects of fuccess, but vain pretensions, immoderate confidence, precipitate counsels, imprudent zeal, or that animofity and internal discord, which must ever frustrate the best-concerted

certed plans. These were unfortunate Charles II. evils; but they are the evils attendant on weak human nature: They were misfortunes which affected very fenfibly the Catholic interest, but they had no immediate reference to the state. In allegiance, politics, and patriotifin, the Catholics were fleady, generous, and fincere.

In 1666, an event happened, which finally contributed to blast all their hopes, though Catholics were no otherwise concerned in it, than as sufferers, or as spectators sympathifing in the general scene of mifery and diffreis. The great fire of London was this event, and it was afcribed to the Papitls. They had long acquired an exclusive claim to the infamy of every national calamity. Not the fmallest proof of guilt was then adduced against them; but their crimes wanted not the ufeless formalities of proof. It was by fome. however, given to Dutch or to French machinations, with equal femblance of truth. Clarendon, who was witness to the whole. ascribes it to the just judgment of Heaven, provoked by the general depravity of the nation. In common language, every moderate man confidered it as accidental.

Charles II. But the Magistracy of London, who are always wifer than the rest of mankind, saw into the whole transaction; and on a lying monument, raised where the sire began, with the greatest humanity ascribed it solely to the Papists. The noble pile to this day rears its head, an irrefragable argument of the blind credulity of the times!

The rumour of this calumny was but a prelude to many others, which eafily found credit in a jealous and exasperated nation. A year now scarcely passed, in which some peculiar guilt was not imputed to Catholics. The public odium being again rouzed was eafily kept alive; and the defigns of bad men were answered. In 1670, the enemies to the court, who were greatly increased, publicly afferted that the King was now finally refolved to annul the constitution; that he aimed at arbitrary power, with a view of destroying the liberties of the people; and that he meant to subvert the established Church by an unlimited toleration of Popery. This was the magic wand, alone capable of realizing fo momentous a defign. The cabinet council, distinguished by the appellation

pellation of the Cabal, which Charles Charles II. foon after chose, was indeed well calculated to give plausibility to these reports. They were not Catholics, (Clifford excepted) but they were the most dangerous Ministry that England perhaps ever knew. The Duke of York, with an imprudence that became his character, was, at the same time, far too open in declaring his religious fentiments. give the last alarm to the fears of the nation, a formal liberty of conscience was allowed to all Sectaries. "This indulgence, fays the King in his declaration, as to the allowance of public places of worship, and approbation of their Preachers, shall extend to all forts of non-conformists and recusants, excepting to the recufants of the Roman Catholic religion; to whom we shall in nowise allow public places of worship, but only indulge them in their share in the common exemption from the execution of the penal laws, and the exercise of their worship in private houses only." Against this indulgence, in itfelf to just and reasonable, the parliament remonitrated, and the King was at lath compelled to recal his declaration. The

Charles II. unpopular conduct of the Ministry had raised a spirit of opposition, which would not be satisfied.

It is worth notice that, fomewhat previous to the time I am speaking of, commenced the first fecret money treaty between Louis XIV. and Charles; wherein it was slipulated that the latter should receive two hundred thousand pounds, for declaring himfelf a Catholic, and that France should assist him with troops, if his fubjects rebelled. There were other articles of a nature equally fingular. The destruction of Holland, in which England was to affift, was Louis's object. The Lords Clifford, and Arundel of Wardour, both Catholics, with Lord Arlington, a man well-affected to that religion, were appointed commissioners to transact this shameful business.—The year following, 1671, a fimilar treaty was concluded by Charles's Protestant Minifters, Buckingham, Ashley Cooper, and Lauderdale, who knew nothing of the first, excepting the article of the King's conversion, which, however, was secretly retained, this was a repetition of the former treaty.—Charles's views were only

to get money; he was little folicitous Charles II. about religion; and it is curious to fee how artfully he afterwards evaded his promife of conversion.—These connexions with France were of the most fatal tendency, and the Royal Brothers, with all their Ministers, deserved to lose their heads. - From this time French money was largely distributed; and even the popular party, it is well known, entered into connexions with that nation, of a nature almost as dangerous as those which the Court is supposed to have formed against the religion and liberties of the subject. - Few parties in this kingdom are free from blame: In their turns, Whigs and Tories have been equally enemies to their country, when their paffions and their interests misled them. "When I found, Tays Sir John Dalrymple, in the French diffratches, Lord Ruffell intriguing with the court of Verhilles, and Algernon Sidney taking money from it, I felt very near the same shock, as if I had feen a fon turn his back in the day of battle."-But to return.

Having gained this point, parliament proceeded further, and refolved to make H

Charles II. the conformity in religious principles still more general. A law therefore passed, in 1673, intitled the Test AET, imposing an oath on all who should accept any public office. Besides the oaths of allegiance and supremacy, they were to receive the facrament once a year in the established Church, and to abjure all belief in the doctrine of Transubstantiation. Peers were not included in this act: but if Papists, and held places by inheritance, they were obliged to appoint deputies.— The relation betwixt civil allegiance and a belief purely religious, is not, furely, very discoverable; but the object of this act was fufficiently obvious. It incapacitated

The general disquietudes about religion did not however subside, and the people were instructed to consider the alliance, which had been made with France, as a fresh design for the introduction of Popery. The clouds began to thicken round the heads of Catholics. The nation

every man from the fervice of his country, whose conscience should not be ductile enough to sacrifice his religion at the shrine of interest or of some paltry pre-

ferment.

was on tiptoe expecting some frightful Charles II. event. Yet I cannot be prevailed on to think, it was at that unimportant body that were principally aimed the machinations of defigning men. That same spirit, inimical to regal government, began again to move, which had for some time lain dormant, but had never been extinguished. In the breasts of others the horror of Popery was perhaps the fole actuating motive. But it was equally good policy in both to keep alive the popular apprehenfion. Charles would not depart from his favourite fystem of general moderation; it became therefore necessary to rouse him into action, and to this end the old stratagem of a Piot was thought the most efficacious measure. When the bad humours of Englishmen are once affoat, they must either have objects of fuspicion on which to fpend themselves, or they will make them.

The plot, which the infamous Mr. Titus Oates has nonoured with his name, was broached in August, 1678. This man had discovered the fecrets of a deep conspiracy, in which, it was represented, the Jesuits had a leading concern. They

Charles II.

had held feveral meetings, both abroad and in England, the final determination of which was to kill the King by poison, the gun, or a dagger. The glaring inconfistencies which crouded the narrative of this whole affair made no impression on a credulous public. It was their wish it might be true; and never was nation worked up to a higher pitch of foolish in-Moderate men began to apfatuation. prehend a general massacre of the whole Catholic body. Two events indeed accompanied the first opening of this plot, which contributed to give it some air of probability. These were the discovery of fome letters of Coleman, Secretary to the Duke of York, and the death of Sir Edmondbury Godfrey. The letters were imprudent, and contained expressions about the introduction of Popery, which at this time were eafily susceptible of further construction. The real truth is; Coleman was a weak and bigoted man, who wished to give a spread to his religion, but that only, as he declared on his trial, by procuring a free toleration for Catholics. Godfrey's murder has never been cleared up; he was an active Justice of the Peace, and from a coincidence of his death with

the supposed discovery of the plot, the Charles II. Papists were charged with it. "There are feafons of believing, fays Burnet, as well as of disbelieving; and believing was then so much in season, that improbabilities or inconfistencies were little confidered. Nor was it fafe so much as to make reflections on them. Oates, and Bedloe, another witness for the plot, continues the Bishop, by their behaviour, detracted more from their own credit, than all their enemies could have done. The former talked of all persons with an insufferable insolence; and the other was a fcandalous libertine in his whole deportment."

The King, from the beginning, was almost the only person who treated the plot, as afcribed to Catholics, with becoming contempt. He faw through that dark veil, which the fascinated multitude were unable to penetrate; whilst his Ministers stood all aghast, and either partook, or affected to partake of the general consternation. It was expected the parliament would reprefs these delusions, and would aim to call back the nation to reason and deliberate enquiry. But they manifested even greater credulity than the

the vulgar. The cry of Plot was echoed Charles II. from one house to the other: The enemies to the crown would not let slip so favourable an opportunity of managing the passions of the people; and the courtparty were afraid of being thought difloyal, should they feem to controvert the reality of the plot, or doubt the guilt of the pretended affaffins of their King. would not, faid a noble Lord, have fo much as a Popish man or a Popish woman to remain amongst us, not so much as a Popish dog, or a Popish bitch, not fo much as a Popish cat to mew, or pur about our King." This was fublime cloquence, and it was received with burfts of applause.-The Commons voted that the Papists designed to kill the King. Warrants were iffued out, and many of that perfuasion were apprehended. They were tried, convicted on the evidence of tome of the worst men the earth ever bore, and executed. At death they still protested their innocence; a circumstance, tays Mr. Hume, which made no impresfion on the spectators; their being Jefuits banished even pity from their fufferings. This frightful perfecution conti-

nued for fome time, and the King, contrary trary to his own judgment, was obliged Charles II. to give way to the popular fury.-" I waited often on him, fays Burnet, all the month of December. He came to me to Chiffinch's, a page of the backstairs, and kept the time he affigned me to a minute. He was alone, and talked much. and very freely with me. We agreed in one thing, that the greatest part of the evidence was a contrivance. But he fufpected fome had fet on Oates, and instructed him; and he named the Earl of Shafterbury. I was of another mind. I thought the many grofs things in his narrative shewed, there was no abler head than Oates, or Tongue, in framing it: and Oates, in his first story, had covered the Duke, and the Ministers so much, that from thence it seemed clear that Lord Shaftetbury had no hand in it, who hated them much more than he did Poperv. He fancied there was a delign of rebellion on foot. I affured him, I faw no appearances of it. I told him, there was a report breaking out, that he inrended to legitimate the Duke of Monmouth. He answered quick, that, as well as he loved him, he had rather fee him hanged. Yet he apprehended a rebellion

Charles II. bellion so much, that he seemed not ill pleased that the party should flatter themselves with that imagination, hoping that would keep them quiet in a dependence upon himself."—In the judgment of these two, it appears, how little the Catholics were concerned in this plot.

" It has been much doubted, fays an author of great information, whether Shaftefbury contrived this plot, or if he only made use of it, after it broke out. Some papers I have feen convince me he contrived it, though the persons he made use of as informers ran beyond their instructions. The common objection to the supposition of his contriving the plot, is, the abfurdity of its circumstances. When Shaftesbury himself was pressed with regard to that abfurdity, he made an answer which shews equally the irregularity and the depth of his genius.—"It is no matter, faid he, the more nonfenfical the better; if we cannot bring them to fwallow worse nonfense than that, we shall never do any good with them."

Catholic Peers were now excluded from fitting in the house, by a bill brought into

into parliament, requiring all members Charles II of either house, and all such as might come into the King's court, or prefence, to take a test against Popery; in which not only Transubstantiation is renounced, but the invocation of the Virgin Mary and the Saints is declared to be idolatrous. This bill was principally levelled against the Duke of York; but he had interest enough to get himfelf excepted by a proviso annexed to it. Five of those Peers to whom the Pope, as Mr. Oates informed the public, had granted commissions to act as his ministers in England, had been tent to the Tower. Of these the Earl of Stafford, his Holiness's Paymaster-General, was alone executed; and at the death of this aged Nobleman the sternest countenances were feen to drop tears.

The new parliament of the succeeding year did not depart from the steps of their predecessors; and as the popular phrenzy feemed to abate, fresh means were devited for keeping up the alarm; mobs, petitions, and Pope-burnings were every day practifed. The number of informing miscreants still encreased; the business was found to be not only lucrative, but bosoncable. harles II. honourable. Plot was set up against plot. all of them under-parts of the same grand drama; and the minds of the nation were fuspended in dreadful apprehension. This parliament also, to testify their loyalty, or to convince the world that they would not furrender the palm of infatuation, came to a resolution, "That if the King should come to any violent death, they will revenge it to the utmost on the Papists." A Papist only, in their judgment, had power to take away the life of a King! They did not probably recollect who had struck off the head of his late Majesty. The hand of every wretch was now armed with a dagger, by which he might at once destroy his Prince and extirpate Popery.

All this time Shaftesbury and his associates were labouring at their grand defign; this was, to exclude the Duke of York from the throne, and to bring in the bastard Monmouth. The Duke was a Catholic: could it therefore be proved that the Papists with him at their head (for both he and the Queen were boldly accused of being accomplices in the plot) had conspired to kill the King, subvert

the government, and bring in Popery, Charles II. what further argument could be required for his exclusion and the utter extinction of his religion? The hill of exclusion was twice, with the most determined violence, brought into parliament; it passed the house of Commons, but the Lords threw it out by a great majority.

The King now became fullen and thoughtful; opposition had soured his temper, and he refolved to effect by refolution what mildness could not accomplish. The parliament spent their strength in vain During the receis, he had received the most adulatory addresses from his fubjects; they cenfured the stubborn opposition of parliament, and offered to support the just rights of the crown. The popular commotions fubfided, and the horrors of Popery feemed to wear away. The thinking part of the nation were feen to blush at their late wild credulity and extravagance: But an impression was made which no time will hardly efface. To the word Popery, before fufficiently tremendous in its found, fo many new ideas of terror were annexed, and fo great ever fince has been the aim of some men to I 2 maintain

Charles II.

maintain the delusion, that I am not surprised the minds of many should at this day feel its effects. Yet scarcely one person of common reading can be found, who does not acknowledge that the plot, I have described, was either the work of malice, or of design and faction.—In 1684 Charles died, and because, in his last moments, he professed himself a Catholic, it is probable that at all times, in his few serious hours, he had been strongly inclined to the principles of that religion.

- The reader will be surprised, that I should have faid nothing of a conspiracy, in which Protestants of the first distinction were concerned. The views of these men were various; the redrefs of grievances, the destruction of monarchy, or the gratification of revenge. These ends they aimed to obtain, by involving the kingdom in the horrors of a civil war; whilst underactors were, at the same time, engaged in a desperate scheme of assassinating the King and the Duke of York. There also were Protestants. The fword of sedition, with the bowl and dagger, were now taken into new hands; and had not Providence interfered, Charles, whose life had often been

been exposed to imaginary danger from the Charles II. machinations of Papists, had really fallen by the authors of the Rve-House Plot. A writer, fo disposed, might, on this occafion, recriminate with weighty retaliation; but my object is not to exculpate my own party, by a display of criminal excesses in their adversaries. I with only to speak of them as they were. But if the pen of a Protestant can be excused from vicious partiality, who loads the whole Catholic body with opprobrious charges, for the follies in which a few were engaged; furely the fame latitude may be allowed to others. It is a liberty, however, which the candid and honest historian will not be inclined to use.

THE death of Charles affected his fub- James II. jects according to the different views of the parties, which then divided the nation. The Catholics were full of expectation from a Prince, who now openly professed their religion. The loyal Protestants, with law and the constitution on their fide, had nothing, they thought, to apprehend, even from a Popish Monarch. The Whiggish faction alone had no favour to hope for; and

James II. and their late attempts had brought them into general discredit with the nation. James the Second ascended the throne. Bigoted, headstrong, and imprudent, he had long, it seems, formed the design of new-modelling the religion of his country. Had the exclusion-bill passed, and James never reigned, it would have been well for Catholics. Yet the easy suppression of Monmouth's rebellion, and the execution of the heads of that desperate faction, feemed at first to promise success to his most fanguine schemes. The barbarities committed by his officers on the defenceless rebels, were, with much ill-nature, imputed to the King: It was faid, his religion delighted in blood. This was a wayward charge.-Very foon was exhibited a scene of imprudences, which folly alone or treacherous defign could have dictated. James had admitted Catholic officers into his army, whom he difpenfed from the Test: against this the parliament remonstrated; he returned them a pecvish answer, and dissolved them. His determination then was to have a Catholic interest in the Privy Council. Four Lords of that persuasion were admitted; and the crafty Sunderland, with much piety declaring

ring himself a Papist, was nominated Pre- James II. fident. In other parts of the kingdom the old magistrates were displaced, and Catholics put in their room. Protestants very justly took the alarm, and the established Church, though ever loyal, shewed a face of determined opposition to such rash measures. A high Court of Ecclesiastical Commission was therefore appointed; and though wholly composed of Protestant members, it gave universal offence. Its office was to inspect all Church affairs; to reward the pliant, and to punish the refractory. It was a Court of Inquisition .-The next step was to grant liberty of conficience to all Sectaries. The King published his declaration, which contained much good fense, and great liberality of fentiment: But its drift was evident, and the nation loudly complained. Chapels were now opened, and the Catholic fervice publicly performed. Father Petre, a weak but defigning Jesuit, appeared at Court, and was fometime after fworn a member of the Privy-Council. An Ambassador extraordinary was sent to Rome, to lay at his Holiness's feet the King's fubmission, and to folicit a mitre and a Cardinal's hat for the brows of Petre.

James II. The Romans saw the folly of this precipitate conduct: "Your King, faid they, should be excommunicated for thus attempting to overturn the small remains of Popery in England." A Nuncio was however fent, and he was received at Windsor with folemn pageantry.—He then attempted to obtrude his Catholic minions on the Univerfities: This was opposed with becoming resolution.—A second declaration for liberty of conscience was issued, with this particular injunction, that it should be read in all the Churches. The Bishops remonstrated; they were summoned before the Council; were fent to the Tower; were foon after tried-and acquitted.-The reientment of the people was now raifed to the utmost: The King began to see the folly of his proceedings; he wished to call a parliament; and to effect that by conflitutional means, which he had vainly attempted by every stretch of his dispensing power. It was now too late: News was brought him that William Prince of Orange was preparing a strong force to invade his territories. Dismayed and terrified, he now faw there was no redrefs, for he had forfeited all claim to the love of his fubjects. The Prince landed; and James

lames forfook a throne which he was un- James II. fit, and, I think, unworthy, to govern.-When he first retired from London, the mob rote, and deftroyed every Catholic Chapel in the city; nor was there a county in England, in which they did not leave fome marks of their indignation.

Every attempt of James to subvert the established religion, or rather to give toleration to Catholics, (for this was all he then aimed at) was attended with the most glaring violations of the laws; and the powers he affumed of difpenting with them, without the confent of Parliament, broke afunder that facred compact, by which the people are bound to their Sovereign. He was no longer entitled to their allegiance. Every patriot should have voted for his expulsion. Kings are made for the people, and the laws of the realm are their only rule of conduct: when they violate there, (it matters not under what pretence) they become tyrants .- it was unfortunate for James to have been fo illadvited. The inclinations of his own mind would not, I think, have hurried him on to far. But wicked and defigning Ministers, leagued with weak and infatuated

Priests, must at any time prove an overames II. match for greater abilities than ever fell to the lot of a Stuart. The Catholics, as a body, merit not the reprehension, I give to Petre and his affociates. They faw the wretched folly and the weak views of those bad advisers; and they condemned the precipitancy of measures which, they knew, could only terminate in their ruin. As must ever be the case with all men, in a fimilar fituation, they wished to be relieved from oppression; but the undisturbed practice of their religion, with the enjoyment of some few civil liberties, would have fatisfied their most fanguine defires. This I know from certain information: But unhappily for them and for their defcendents, the voice of prudence and of cool religion was not attended to, whilst wild zeal and romantic piety were called in to fuggest schemes of folly, and to precipitate their execution.

Villiam III. AS the Revolution, in the year 1688, took place in opposition to James's wild projects of introducing Popery, the Catholics, it should seem, had much to apprehend from the event. But William was too good

a politician to be inclined to ways of vio- William II lence or persecution. He had been educated in a school, which taught him to appreciate merit or demerit in a subject, not from his religious tenets, but from the powers he possessed to promote or to oppose the defigns of his maiter. Catholies therefore foon experienced the lenity of his government; and though the laws against them remained unrepealed, yet they were feldom put into rigorous execution. He confidered them as a finall member of the great Jacobitical body, whereof as the Protestants were, without comparison, the most formidable faction, his good fense told him that these were to be watched with peculiar jealouty. He also soon discovered, that tho' the Whigs had been principally instrumental in his elevation to the English throne, they were of a fulpicious and untractable character, whose ideas of liberty were ever foremoft, and who would never lote any opportunity of abridging the Royal Prerogative. The Catholics themselves were not dillatisfied with their condition; it was bad indeed, but they had expected it would have been much worse: And had not a salse notion of Hereditary and Divine Right warped their judg-K 2

Loyalty to the house of Stuarts was a virtue of singular merit, they would probably have sat down, happy in the lowest condition of British subjects. But this was a prepossession not peculiar to Catholics; it had its votaries in every other religious persuasion.

In the beginning of his reign, to conciliate the affections of the Diffenters, whom he feared, the King passed the famous Toleration Act, by which they were freed from the penalties of the Act of Uniformity; and to indulge the ill humour of others, though contrary to his line of politics, yet, because he did not fear them, he permitted some severe statutes to be enacted against the Catholics. By these they are ordered to remove ten miles from Westminster; not to keep arms, or to be in possession of any horse above the value of five pounds; the Univerfities were vetled with the advowfons belonging to them: and that the most distant hope of introducing Popery might be for ever precluded, an act of parliament paffed declaring that no Papith, nor any one who marries a Papist, shall inherit the crown.

When

When James was in Ireland attempting William III. to recover the sceptre he had forfeited, and when again, two years after, affifted by the French with a formidable fleet, he meditated a descent in England, the Catholics kept themselves quiet. I will not fay, they did not with him fuccets, or that many would not have joined him, had he landed. Such measures their Jacobitiim dictated, as it did to the reft of the party.-Nor, in the two desperate plots, which were formed to reflore the fallen King, in the last of which the de-Ign was to affailing the William, are there any Catholics to be found of the least note or interest. Men of abandoned chiracter and of desperate fortune, as I have often before observed, are always ready to orgage in fuch attempts. But in both plots names were discovered of many Protestants, even of the Whiggish faction, which were capable of giving splendor to the darkert designs. The King even withed not to know, bys Burnet, the number of those who were in conspiracy against him, and declined all rigid enquiry.—It is rather fingular, that factious men had now abandoned the old trick. of alarming the nation with the horrors

William III. of some Popish plot, that their own schemes might go on unobserved: The reason probably was, they knew William to be a Prince too inquisitive to be imposed on by siction; and too determined to be intimidated by the recital of imaginary dangers.

In 1699, the 11th of William, an act passed for further preventing the growth of Popery, of peculiar feverity. A reward of a hundred pounds is offered for apprehending any Priest or Jesuit: Papists not taking the oaths in fix months, after eighteen years of age, are declared incapable to inherit lands, &c. and the next of kin, a Protestant, to enjoy the same; also Papifts are made incapable to purchase lands: Ambassadors not to protect Priests that are fubjects of England: a hundred pounds forfeit for fending a child to be educated abroad in the Romish Religion: Popish parents obliged to allow a maintenance to their children, becoming Protestants, at the Chancellor's determination.—The last clause excepted, there is fomething fo fingularly cruel in this act, made at a time when it does not appear that Catholics had given any just cause of provocation, that

that to a person, unacquainted with the William III. circumstances in which it passed, it must appear strangely unaccountable. This is the act, parts of which the humanity and Christian moderation of a British Parliament has lately thought proper to repeal.—I shall give in Bishop Burnet's own words, who was at the time himself in the house, a short history of the passing of this fingular act.

" Upon the peace of Ryfwick, fays he, (two years before) a great twarm of Priests came over to England, not only those whom the Revolution had frightened away, but many more new men, who appeared in many places with great infolence; and it was faid, that they boasted of the favour and protection of which they were affured. Some enemies of the government began to give it out, that the favouring of that religion, was a fecret article of the peace; and fo abfurd is malice and calumny, that the Jacobites began to fay, that the King was either of that religion, or at least a favourer of it: Compleints of the avowed practices and infolence of the Priests were brought from feveral places, during the laft Seffion of Parliament, and those were malicioutly

William III. maliciously aggravated by some who cash the blame of all on the King.

"Upon this, some proposed a bill, that obliged all persons educated in that religion, or fuspected to be of it, who fhould fucceed to any estate before they were of the age of eighteen, to take the eaths of Allegiance and Supremacy, and the Test, as soon as they came to that age; and till they did it, the estate was to devolve to the next of kin, that was a Protestant; but was to return back to them, upon their taking the oaths. All Popish Priests were also banished by the bill, and were adjudged to perpetual imprisonment, if they should again return to England; and the reward of a hundred pounds was offered to every one who should difcover a Popish Priest, so as to convict him. Those who brought this into the House of Commons, hoped that the Court would haved opposed it; but the Court promoted the bill; fo when the party faw their mistake, they seemed willing to let the bill fall; and when that could not be done, they clogged it with many fevere and fome unreasonable clauses, hoping that the Lords would not pass the act; and

it was fuld, that if the Lords should make William the least alteration in it, they, in the house of Commons, who had fet it on, were refolved to let it lie on their table, when it thould be tent back to them. Many Lords, who fecretly favoured Papifts, on the Jacobite account, did, for this reason, move for leveral alterations; fome of these importing a greater feverity; but the zeal against Popery was fuch in that house, that the bill pailed without any amendment. and it had the Royal Affent."

DURING the thirteen years of Queen Anne. Anne's reign, who, on the death of William in 1702, fucceeded to the throne, Catholies were permitted to live free from moledation, fubject only to fuch retiremts as former laws had impoied. They were by no me and ditagreeable to Anne; the recollefted the localty they had alway, thewn to her family; nor did their prefent attachment to her unfortunate brother Johns give her difpleature. Her throne was too firmly fixed to be thaken by a reed to broken. - The projection of the time pelirical opinions with the Tories, contributed not a little to produce them forme one in 1

Anne. from that powerful faction; it removed part of the odium that had been annexed to the name of Papist.—The Whigs continued to detest them, not now so much from hatred of their religion, as because their Tory principles threw some weight into the scale of their opponents. —The nation at large, amused with the found of victories, which on all fides attended our arms, and engaged in the animofity of political altercations, lost fight of every other object: Enthusiasm in politics had taken place of Enthusiasm in religion. - The leading men of the Catholic party, though removed from the concerns of state, warmly espoused the Tory interest; whilst the body itfelf, now reposing from the violence of former oppression, seemed to enjoy their present small allotment of ease, and sometimes perhaps amused themselves with the vain reflection, that at the death of Anne, their favourite James might be called to the throne of his ancestors. In their turn they hated the Whigs, whom they confidered as the inftruments of the Revolution; and though this event had proved the real cause of their present happiness, it would have been criminal, they thought, to have indulged any favourable emotions towards

towards them. Such was the character of Anne. their loyalty; and at that time a Whig-Catholic would have been deemed a phenomenon, fit only to excite the detestation of some, and the amazement of others.

At the end of the fession in 1706, great complaints were made in both houses of parliament of the growth of Popery, particularly in Lancashire, and of great imprudencies committed both by the Laity and Priests of that communion. I do not find what these imprudencies were. A bill was therefore brought into the Lower House, with such clauses, as would have rendered more effectual the late act of King William. The Catholics made powerful intercection. The court feemed indifferent in the matter; whilft the enemies to the bill repretented it as unreafon bly fevere at a time, when we were in alliance with fo many Princes of that religion, and when the Queen was actually interceding for indulgence to the Protestants in their dominions. It was contrary also, they taid, to those maxims of liberty of conscience and toleration, which now began to generally to prevail.—It was answered, that the avowed dependence

οť

Anne.

of Papilts on a foreign jurifdiction, and at prefent on a foreign Pretender to the crown, put them in a fituation widely different from that of other Diffenters; that they were rather to be confidered as enemies to the flate, than as British subjects.—The first of these charges was a groundless accusation, the second was equally applicable to the whole Jacobitical Faction.—The bill dropt; and an address was made to the Queen that she would order a return, of all the Papists in England, to be prepared, for the next session of parliament.

The violent commotions, which were raifed in 1709, on account of the doctrine of Non-relitance and other Tory-maxim, advanced in a fermon by Sacheverel, though partly of a religious complexion, contributed not a little to draw the attention of the public from all confiderations of Popery. The effablished Church warmly espoused his cause, declaring their abhorrence of all Whiggish doctrines; and the popular sury, which before had always raged against Popery, slamed out with unusual violence against the Dissenting Protestants. The cry was, The Church

and Sacheverel. In their madness, they destroyed several Meeting-Houses, plundered the dwellings of many eminent Dissenters, and even, it is said, proposed to attack the Bank itself. Some people of better fashion were supposed to direct these proceedings; they followed the mobin hackney coaches, and were seen sonding messages to them. At this time, a Catholic, with Sacheverel's sermon in his hand, might have preached all the docurines of Rome at Charing Cross, and have received the shouts of the multitude: So small were the remains of common reason and considert sense!

In the twelfth year of her Majerly, some other complaints being made gainth Catholics, though I cannot find of what nature they were, a bill palled against them, for rendering more effectual the act of King William. By this they are disabled from presenting to benefices; and the benefices in their presentation are confirmed to the two Universities, who may prefer bills in Chancery to discover fraudulent trusts.

George I.

PURSUANT to the Act of Succession, on the death of Anne, George the First, the next Protestant heir, came to the throne in 1714.—The friends to James now faw all their schemes for his restoration at once blasted, and themselves exposed to the frowns of their new King. The exultation of the Whigs was indeed unbounded, when the road to honours and exclusive favour lay open before them, and their enemics were fallen at their feet. George could not but view those men in a favourable light, who had fo long professed themtelves his friends, and to whose exertions he owed his crown. The Tories were his enemies, and they expected little favour. As to the Catholics, though it was well known they would have bled to impede his fuccession, yet the King was only difposed to view them in the common light of other opponents. In Germany he had learned a lesion of religious moderation. Where Catholics and Protestants blend promiscuously together, and pray to God under the fame roof, all acirmony and marks of odious distinction must necessarily disappear. He likewise perceived, they were too infignificant to create any uneafy folicitude; nor did he wish to provoke

a worm by wanton feverities. The word George I. Popery to his ears conveyed no ideas of horror: Jacobitism was a found more replete with danger and fuspicion. The Catholics themselves, though forely difappointed, were little inclined to murmur, when they saw before them a fair prospect of tranquillity, which nothing, it appeared, but their own folly could diffurb. They were therefore easy under this new arrangement; those only excepted, whose dreams of loyalty, diffurbing the obvious fuggestions of prudence and common tense, rendered unfatisfied and refflets. But as vet no occasion offered for exertion. With others of the fame description, they therefore fat down, in fullen refignation, brooding over their airy profpects of golden days, framing plans of vait execution, and cherishing, in great telf-complacency, all the comforts of those exalted virtues, which Jacobitism only could inspire.

The popular cry against the Diffenters Aill prevailing, they were branded as the promoters of opinions, from which not only hoterodoxy, but vice of every kind, were daily gaining firength. The ortablished Church, it was faid, flood in imminent

George I. imminent danger of subversion. The Clergy were loud in their complaints; but they were now filenced, and all difputations on religious topics were prohibited. But these methods proving inefficacious to stop the mouth of opposition, an artifice of fingular power was devited. Yacolitism and Popery were made fynonymous terms; and all fuch as terlified any discontent against government were branded with the double appellation. The Tories were univerfally involved in this imputation; whilst the real Catholics, betides the old fligma of their religion, had alfo to bear the charge of political heterodoxy. The Whigs triumphed in this fortunate firatagem: It funk the popularity of their opponents; nor could the effect be evaded, fince it was well known that the charge in general was founded on truth. The Tories were, in principle at least, friends to Jacobitifin, and To were the Papifts; they thould not therefore, it feemed, be great enemies to each other. From this time, and for many years to come, the words Jacobit. and Papift remained inseparably united.

George I.

In the rebellion of 1715, fo rashly concerted to restore the Pretender, we find names of every description in religion and politics; Tories, Whigs, Church of England-Men, Diffenters, and Catholics. The discontented of all parties engaged. can be no surprise, if many Catholics espoused the wild attempt: Their attachment to James, as I have faid, was of the most fincere and fanguine character; and the religious prejudices of many at that time were warm enough to inspire them with enthutiasm in the cause. The number of real infurgents was, however, inconfiderable: The whole body wished him succefs, but the ardour of all was not sufficiently flaming to lead them to the field of action.—The forfeiture of property, which fucceeded the execution of fome of the principal Catholic rebels, was a great blow to the interest of the body; but fortunately the blood then spilt read a lesson to the rest of the party, which has proved highly useful to their posterity. From that day, their loyalty began to cool, and Jacobitism was little more than an empty found.

When

George I.

When men act from principles, however erroneous, they acquire a confiftency of character, which, by proper management, may be directed to much good. George weighed attentively the motives, which had drawn the Catholics into the late rebellion; he admired their fleady, though mistaken loyalty; he pitied their blindness; and he withed to reclaim them. A project therefore, in the year 1710, was fet on foot, and I believe with ferious defign, to give them case, and thereby to enfure their future allegiance. Ministry were engaged in the scheme, and seemed to with it juccets. But this also ended just as every other project had ended before. The committee of Catholics, appointed to conduct the butiness, differeed amongit themselves; the affair funk, and was heard of no more. The principal agent was Dr. etrickland, afterwards Dithop of Namur, who was very imimate with the King, and whele views, had they been followed, might have brought certain relief to his party. But there was a narrowness in the minds of Catholics, Laity as well as Clergy, which little lifs than miraculous powers could have enlarged. Thanks to Heaven! those nowers,

from that time, began to operate, and George I the present generation dares to think and to act, on a more liberal and extensive plan.

Some laws, even during this reign, were made against Catholics. Their hard fate would have it, that no era of British History flould be left without some mark of their oppression! By the first of George, within fix month after they come to the age of twenty-one, they are obliged to regifter their names and offices with the clerk of the peace: The non-compliance with this form to be punished with forfeiture of eflite, &c. - By the third of George, they are charged with an additional expense in every family-translation, by being compelled to inrell all deeds, &cc .- They are also loaded with the payment of a double furn afferied up n Protest arts by the land-tax act; but this, I believe, was first ordered in the reign of villiam.

THE thirty-three years of George the George second's reign, which began in 1727, exhibit no material change in the condition of Catholic. They continue in the fame

of those turbulent scenes, in which the nations of Europe were successively occupied. One event only happened, which I shall presently notice, in which they were concerned, and which probably, if human foresight may be allowed to judge, will be the last.

From the ease they had now, for a long time, enjoyed, and which, compared with their former state of perpetual vexation, was very great, Catholics had become more fociable; they began to taste those fweets of life, which liberty and open intercourse with the world can supply. As the weight of oppression lightened, and the severity of penal prosecution ceased, the stern vigour of their minds relaxed, and they every day lost something of that enthusiasin of soul, which the sufferers for real, or for fancied justice, always experience. Such enthusiasm can give charms to oppression or to death. The confequences of this change were evident. Men of family grew daily less zealous in religion; their wonted loyalty abated; and they infenfibly reformed first their politics, and foon after often conformed

to the established Church. Already, du- George II. ring the present century, this has been the case with many; and every year will now continue to witness the progress of the same revolution. The splendor of the party by fuch means vanished; whilst the remaining multitude were viewed as an object, capable of raising, nor love, nor hatred, nor envy, nor fuspicion: and had not the late rebellion of 1745 unfortunately intervened, before this day, probably, the name of Popery would have been an unheeded found, and all execution of the penal statutes utterly suspended.

At the infligation of French counfels, who never meant to give him any real support, and hurried on by the bad advice of his misjudging friends, and his own vain ambition, the young Pretender, with an army of feven men, landed in Scotland. In this Northern foil, fo congenial with its nature, had long been planted the tree of rebellion, and under its deadly shade grew many noxious herbs, favourable to the nurture of bigotry, fanaticitm, treason, and all the selfish and unsociable passions. The Scots often reforted to this fatal spot, and in large draughts

George II. draughts drank down the contagion; here they met the young adventurer. The first su cess, and subsequent events, of this rath invation are well known. Its chief, and almost only, support was from Scotland, affifted afterwards by a few English, and of these a very small part were Catholics. There appeared no real disposition in the rest of the party to join him, though their withes were very fervent for his fuccefs. A general alarm was now given to the nation, and the old cry of Popery was echoed from shore to thore. The rebellion, however, was foon terminated. Some lives were forfeited. and the tumults subsided. But a fresh impreflion was again made, which called up the former animofity of the nation, and it was faid by many, that Papists would never peaceably fubmit to a Protestant government. This was an ill-natured charge. For very few Catholics, I have observed, were engaged in the rebellion: and if the body must suffer for the follies of these few, furely the same should be the fate of Protestants; for of these, some in England, and many in Scotland, joined the Rebel standard. There is also something to plead in favour of Catholics, which is

not applicable to Protestants. These men George II. enjoyed all the privileges of British subjects, whilst the former were oppressed; and this for the original fin imputed to their ancestors, in which they at least had no concern. When a prospect of relief opens, may not the wretched firive to enter? But he that is not easy on a bed of rofes, deferves to be laid on thorns.

When the popular fury hid fublided on the extinction of the rebellion, the Catholies gradually returned to their flate of tranquillity; and thus they lived, peaceable and unoffending subjects, complying with the respective duties of civil life, and worthipping God in the very renged and Secret manner, the lenity of government airwed, during the remaining part of his Majorit's reign.

In the fbort view, I have exhibited, the render has feen the facecilive revolutions and changes to which the Catholics of England have been tablected from the R docuntion, almost down to the prefer day. It is unnecessary to recalitulate events, where the subject has been drawn to is finall a point. I kene him there or George II. to his own reflections. One observation only I wish to add; that in no part of the history of mankind do we meet with any society, who have made sewer attempts to regain their lost privileges, or who for these attempts have been subjected to severer penalties. In their constant behaviour to Catholics, I can no where discover the least trace of that liberal, humane, and manly spirit which, on every other occasion, is seen to animate the breasts of Englishmen. Yet we are the old stock, from whence they sprung.

NO occurrence, of fufficient weight George III. to call the historian's attention, having happened in the concerns of Catholics, for the seventeen first years of his Majesty's reign, I hasten to the transactions of 1778, when a bill was obtained, by which some relief was granted them from the severity of a former statute. The uniform tenour of their conduct, in circumstances of real trial, had convinced their greatest enemies, that now at least they deserved the indulgence of government. If they may not enjoy unlimited toleration, faid they, we thould should not, however, oppress unoffending Ceorge III. citizens.

A Philosopher, who should have viewed the general features of the nation, at this time, would have been induced to believe, that a more favourable opportunity never could have offered, for an oppressed party to fue for redrefs. The bigotry and narrow fancies of former days icemed melted down into extensive philanthropy, and a mild indulgence even to the errors of our fellow-creatures. In Church, the great points of religious toleration had been ably invefligated; and very few there were, on the bench of Bishops, who were not flrongly disposed to allow the fullest liberty to Diffenters of every defeription.— State politicians concerned themselves little in affairs of confeience; they had objeds of another nature to attend to, which demanded more than common exertion; belides, they wished the concurrence of all men to their schemes, whether of war or of peace.—The enemies to government were numerous and determined; but they were men peculiarly liberal in fentiment, and whose notions of extensive freedom could not furely be reconciled with the fmalle ft

George III.

smallest element of oppression. The higher ranks in life affected to think lightly of religion in general: To them every fpecies of perfecution was an abfurdity, odious and contemptible. Many of them had travelled, and had feen religion in all its modes; they had dined with Cardinals, and perhaps converted with the Pope; and had found him to be a good-tempered, inoffensive old man, without either horns or cloven feet.—The multitude, as is ever the case, copied their superiors: Much irreligion every where prevailed amongst them, particularly in the towns; it was not therefore to be apprehended, they would be alarmed with any indulgence allowed to Catholics. - The Disciples of Welley only, and fome of the Diffenting congregations, appeared to retain the illiberal stiffness of old times; the word Popery to their ears was fill a found of horror. But then the Diffenters were themselves petitioning for relief, and the Methodiths, it was hoped, had not totally lost the mild character of the established Church, of which they flill affect to be members .- At the head of all, George the Third was known to have inherited the religious moderation of his family; and

in him this amiable disposition had been George III early improved by a philotophic and liberal education. He knew, the Catholics of England were good subjects; he knew, the old popular cry against Poperv, though for one time politically kept up to ferve his family, was at this day datingenuous and fordid; and he knew, that the attachment they had to the Stuarts, was now univerfally transferred to the house of Hanover. In that fleadiness of mistaken loyalty to long preferved, he discovered a fure pledge of the unalterable permanency of their present allegiance .- In this state of things the Catholics were advised first to address his Majesty, and then to petition parliament for relief. The success, which attended these measures, convinced them, that they were not deceived in the favourable notions they had formed of the times. It has been faid that the Popilli bill was infidioully brought into parliament at the end of a fellion, when many of the members were out of town, and when the others withed to retire. It has also been faid; that had time been allowed for cool reflection, or had the fense of the nation been maturely taken, the bill had never passed. - The fael, with regard to the.

George III.

the first allegation, is true. But it so happened by no intentional or collusive defign. The Catholics themselves, as I well know, never thought of petitioning for relief till towards the end of Lent of that year, and from that time there was not a day to lofe. This, I believe, was rather a fortunate circumstance. For though no bill could have passed with more concurrent app obation of both houses, which were b no means thin, as is falfly afferted; yet had leifure been given for the ill humour of bigotry and of Scotch fanaticism to ferment, most probably, to judge from late experience, an opposition might have been blown up, far too powerful for all the efforts of good fense and Christian moderation. But the nation at large was not displeased with the bill. Their sense is to be taken, whilst they are cool and temperate; and not when fedition has raifed discontents and murmurs, by the bad arts of mifreprefentation and calumny. This was most notoriously practifed: For when parliament lately examined the grounds, from which rose the popular clamour, it was found that no fingle charge, urged by the petitioning Protestants, was true. The Catholics

Catholics had taken no unfair advantage George III. of the indulgence granted them; they had opened no new Schools; had built no additional Chapels; had inveigled no Protestant children; had laboured to make no new Profelytes; in a word, they had lived in the fame retired, unoffending manner, as had been, for many years, their wonted practice. Their countenances had perhaps put on a more cheerful air, and did Englishmen envy them this portion of happiness!

The indulgence they had obtained, tho' they were thankful for it, was after all but a finall favour. The new bill repealed only fome parts of the act of the 12th of King William; those which related to the apprehending of Popish Bithops and Priests, and fubjected them, as also Papitts keeping ichool, to perpetual imprisonment; likewife that clause which disabled Catholics from inheriting or purchasing lands. But they were not to benefit even of this indulgence, unless, within a limited time, they took and subscribed an oath, in itself fufficiently humiliating, which was prepared for them.-The other clauses of this act, with the whole code of other fevere George III. fevere and fanguinary laws, remained, and do now remain, in full force against them. Yet the public has been told, that an unlimited Toleration had been granted to Catholics. Were the authors of such malignant reports reduced to their state, the slaves in the plantations of Jamaica would have little reason to envy their condition. The act of William, from the reward it held out to informers, of which bad use had often been made, and from the particular circumstances which attended its original formation, was judged to be peculiarly deserving of this partial repeal.

I am not disposed to enter into a detail of those riotous proceedings, which disgraced the month of June of 1780; they are still fresh in every one's memory; and they will continue to blacken the annals of English history to the latest times.—

I have before me an accurate narrative of the transactions of each meeting of the Protestant Association, previous to their fatal assembly in St. George's Fields. It was taken by a person, who gave painful attendance at their several convocations. Neither reason, humanity, nor religion, were ever permitted to enter their doors; wild

wild uproar, ranting declamation, and low George III. calumny, directed their councils, and dictated their refolves. The event answered fuch antichristian deliberations. The scheme of opposition, taken up by these mad reformers, originated in Scotland. They had fet the example; and they gave them a Prefident well adapted to the work. The Scots gloried, that by so nobly withstanding every design to give relief to their Catholic fellow-subjects at home, they had fought the battles of the Lord, and had triumphed. They were ready, they faid, to march into England, to profecute the holy war, and to facrifice to the manes of Knox, those friends to superstition and idolatry, who had dared to liften to the isft fuggettions of humanity and reafon, rather than to the howls of intolerant tanaticifm.-The reader will excuse my warmth when I speak of these men: It is Philosophy pleading the caute of human nature in the year 1780.-I must add, if Ministry had acted with becoming fortitude in the riots of Scotland, we should not probably have icen a Protestant Associttion in London. But firmacis is not a virtue of modern growth.

George III.

With pleasure I could draw a contrast betwixt the behaviour of Catholics and that of their enemies. Whilst these were meditating schemes of oppression and cruelty, they filently looked on; nor could they be perfuaded to think that any application to parliament, for a repeal of their bill, was feriously intended. Conscious of the rectitude of their own conduct, they wished not to impute such bad defigns to any men. Otherwise a timely application might probably have frustrated the projected plan. When the riots began, their behaviour was still more exemplary. With pain they viewed themselves as the innocent occasion of such wild and calamitous tumults; and they lamented to see foldiers marching into London, those dangerous protectors of the lives and property of British citizens. They could have themfelves repelled the most determined attacks of that lawless rabble; and it was with difficulty that a brave infulted band of Irish Catholics were restrained from dreadful retaliation. At one time, the innate principle of felf-preservation seemed to call for fuch a measure. But fearful of adding to the scene of consternation, and defirous of convincing their greatest enemies.

mies, that the love of order and of peace George III. was, in their minds, fuperior to all other confiderations, the Catholics rather chose to fee their property deflroyed, and themfelves shamefully insulted, than to resist. The principles of fuch men are not furely of that dark complexion, which mifreprefentation has instructed the mind of ignorance to believe. Their only with had been, to possers their own property in legal fecurity, to educate their own children, and to worship God in the manner their consciences directed. This privilege the laws of nature feem to allow to all men; but when a British Parliament had granted it to Catholics, a mob of British Protetlants tumultuoufly demanded its revocation.

It is time to lofe fight of this horrid Conclusion. transaction, and cooly to purfue another object. Reflection naturally occurs to every man, and if himself uninformed, he withes to ask the following question: " Is there really any thing in the manners or in the principles of Catholies, at the prefent day, that can juffly give alarm to government, as now established in Church or State?" It matters not, what all or any

Conclusion. of that body may have thought or practised in former times; nor does it regard us, what may now be the fentiments of Catholics in other countries. We wish information with regard to those few only, who actually live amongst us. The clamours of a mob, or the declamatory difcourses of ignorant, felfish, and bigoted men, descrive no attention; they must ever deceive us: but in cool and dispassionate temper, we defire to receive fuch inftructions, as can only be supplied by those, who are themselves Catholics, and who are thoroughly acquainted with the real state of that body now in England.

> The author of these sheets flatters himfelf, he can give this information: he was educated in an English College abroad; he has fince that lived and converted with people of all ranks in that perfusion at home: he is himfelf a Catholic, and has long made the study of their principles a ferious occupation; and, from what has already been delivered in the foregoing pages, he prefumes, his readers will not think him too much biaffed to his own party, or improperly warm in his representation of men and things. He trufts also, that

[107]

his Catholic friends will not be offended Conclusion. at the candid description he is going to exhibit of their manners, principles, flate, and circumflances. If the view prove fometimes unpleafant, he is not blameable. The artist who sits down to draw a landfcape, must, with equal fidelity, describe the dreary wilderness and the flowery plain, if they be real parts of the scene before him.

END of the FIRST PART.

0 2



A VIEW of

ENGLISH CATHOLICS,

LAITY and CLERGY;

THEIR

NUMBER, WEALTH, CHARACTER, &c.

In the PRESENT YEAR, 1780.

PART II.

T is furely inconfishent with the cha- Introduct racter of a great nation to be intimidated by imaginary apprehensions; vet it often happens that greater alarms are raifed by fuch imprefilions, than by the approach of real and weight; danger. We have feen how aftonithingly this kingdom has been repeatedly convulted by fictitious plots and the vain dread of Popery. The event has always proved that such fear was cauteless. - Another misfortune is, that most men, either from indolence or from

Introduction from want of opportunities, take their information from the report of others; little reflecting, that they who are most ready to inform, are frequently actuated by motives widely diftant from the love of truth. To receive such instructions without further enquiry, argues a rash, weak, or a malevolent heart.-When a nation, in any branch of its establishment, is in danger from the supposed designs of a party, there must be something in the principles or in the circumstances of the latter, on which suspicions may be founded. The politician will then take a circumscriptive view. From whatever quarter he is instructed to look for danger, that way he will direct his fight. He has learned, from perusing the history of mankind, that the powers of any faction to do mischief are, in a well-regulated state, extremely limited; and he has also learned that fuch powers are generally exerted in a fimilar manner. Human nature is the fame in all its evolutions. He has therefore a clue given him, whereby his refearches may be drawn to a fure conclufion. If Catholics be a faction dangerous to the state, they must be so in the manner of other factions: The point will be cafily

[111]

eafily decided; for they are not furely en- Introduction dowed with powers of preternatural energy.

AFTER repeated enquiries, I am not Number of yet able to afcertain the real number of Catholics. I have feen fome computations, which are faid to have been made, but they are all exaggerated past belief. From the best information, I can procure, their number does not, at this day, exceed 60,000: and this even, I suspect to be far beyond the mark.-If the Bishops go on with their scheme, parliament will foon be in pofferiion of returns, which must be confidered as accurate and authentic. But I with them to be more carefully attentive than others have been, who formerly undertook the work. Dr. Blackburne, I think, a few years back, made out an account from a certain district in the north. with which he is well-acquainted, which was fhamefully falle.—Men violently prejudiced, like some insects, see with polyhedron optics; that is, their eyes multiply. Solicitous to make Catholics appear an chjett of terror, because in their eradles to g were often frightened with the name, they chuse to avoid all accurate computation. London.

Catholics.

London excepted, very little difficulty would attend a most exact census. It has not hitherto been done from indolence or inattention: yet I much wish, for reasons to me very obvious, that some Catholic would at this moment undertake it. In London there would be great difficulty: but a third part at least of their number in that town are foreigners. A watchful government would thin this motley crew, and send them back to their own countries. Their only aim is to emasculate the genuine character of Englishmen, or to eat a bread designed for better industry.

When we take a view of the great body of the nation, on the moderate computation of fix millions, even the exaggerated numbers of Catholics vanish to an imperceptible point. Some of the great trading and manufacturing provincial towns are known to contain more inhabitants, than our whole collective numbers amount to. Bristol would think itself sadly depopulated, if reduced to fixty thousand souls. Yet the public is taught to believe that the British constitution is in danger from the attempts of this insignificant multitude! The army they could bring into

the field, though preceded by the Pope's banner, and fortified with his holy benediction, would cause little terror, I sancy, on the day of action, to the heretical force of England. In reading the adventures of Don Quixote, we laugh at the folly of a man, who at every turn could raise up to himself imaginary soes: The conduct of those, who speak gravely of the terrifying numbers of Catholics, is not less ridiculous. *

P The

* "While King William was engaged in his project of reconciling the religious differences of Englant, he was at great pains to find out the proportions between Churchmen, Differences, and Papirls. In his Cabinet there is the following curious report in consequence of an enquiry upon that head.

Tis number of FREEHOLDERS In FNGLAND. Corporada Nonecofornia F fi Province of Canterbury 2,123,302 93,151 11.878 of York 15.525 353,892 1,078 2,477,254 118.6 6 13,356 Conformitis 2,477,254 Non-conformits 108,076 2,585.030 Papists 13,856 In all England 2,599,786

"In the Province of Canterbury are 23,740 Papills, half of these are under the age of fixteen years, of a 11.870; a seventh part of these are aged, and above 3,391. Taking

[114]

The few Catholics, I have mentioned, are also dispersed in the different counties. In many, particularly in the West, in South-Wales, and in some of the midland counties, there is scarcely a Catholic to be found. This is easily known from the residence of Priests. After London, by far the greatest number is in Lancashire. In Staffordshire are a good many, as also in the northern counties of York, Durham, and Northumberland. Some of the manufacturing and trading towns, as Norwich, Manchester, Liverpool, Wolverhampton,

king out of the faid number of Papiths the two Lad fums, which make in all 15,201; there remains then 8,47), of which the one half are women: there remains thereto e in the Province of Canterbury, at to bear arms, 4,239 Papiths.

"The Province of York bears a firth part of the taxes, and has in it a fixth part of the people as that of Conterbury has, who, 3,050, whereof half are under the age of fixteen, who, 1,078; and a fixenth part above firty, who, 565; and of the aborefield fixth part one half is wemen.—The total therefore of this Province fit to bear arms is 7013 joyning which to the total of those in the Prevince of Cantribury sit to bear arms, makes the total of the Papitts throughout all England fit to bear arms to be 4,940."

I have taken this from the appendix to Sir John Dairymple's Memoir. I cannot trink it is by any menas accurate: It feems to diminish the number of Catholics as much as later returns augment it. Should it be true, we have greatly increased since that time; whereas I certainly know we are much diminished within this century.

hampton, and Newcastle-upon-Tyne, have Chapels, which are rather crowded, but these constitute the greatest part of the number I have just given to their respective counties. In a few towns, particularly at Coventry, their number, I find, is increased; but this by no means in proportion of the general increase of population in the fame places. Excepting in the towns, and out of Lancashire, the chief lituation of Catholics is in the neighbourhood of the old families of that perfuation. They are the fervants, or the children of fervants, who have married fro 1 tho. families, and who chuse to remain round the old manfion, for the conveniency of prayers, and because they hope to receive I your and ail. cance from their fermer mallers.

Many laws have been chasted to prevent the growth of Popery; and it now is, and always has been, the popular cry, that Papills are utily increasing. One might almost fancy, from the frequency of these reports, that they sprang up, like mush-rooms, it is identically up to the form the frequency of these reports, that they sprang up, like mush-rooms, it is identically up to the first of these been true in such reports, how very different, at this day, would be the lift of P 2. Catholic

Catholic names, from what it really is. More than one half, if not the whole English nation, must have been long ago fubjected to the See of Rome. The truth is, within the prefent century we have most rapidly decreased. Many congregations have intirely disappeared in different parts; and in one district alone, with which I am acquainted, eight out of thirteen are come to nothing; nor have any new ones rifen to make up, in any proportion, their lofs. These are sacts of certain notoriety .- In the nature of things, it could not possibly be otherwise. Where one cause can be discovered tending to their increase, their will be twenty found to work their diminution. Among these the principal are, the lofs of families by death, or by conforming to the citablished Church; the marrying with Protestants; and that general indifference about religion, which gains fo perceptibly on all ranks of Christians. - When a family of distinction fails, as there seldom continues any conveniency either for prayers or instruction, the neighbouring Catholics foon fall away: And when a Prictl is still maintained, the example of the Lord is wanting to encourage the lower class, particularly

to the practice of their religion. I recollect the names of at least ten noble families that, within these fixty years, have either conformed, or are extinct; besides many Commoners of distinction and fortune. - The marrying with Protestants, which is now very utual, will necessarily produce the same effect. All, or half the children are, in this cafe, generally educated Proteflants; and when this is not done, example or pertuation often proves equally efficacious -I need not infift on the operation of the third cause I mentioned.—When we add to these the whole pressure of the penal laws, we have discovered an agent almost sufficiently powerful to shake the faith of martyrs. And certainly, were it not for the fleady zeal of their instructors, joined to that firm oppolition of mind to which oppression ever gives additional permanency, supported alto, as we confide, by the arm of Providence, the Catholics of England must long nince have disappeared from the face of the earth. Penalties, difcouragements, and difqualifications, with the afpertions of malevolence, and the ridicule of ignorance, make deep impressions on the stoutest minds: They will often prove an overmatch match even for uncommon resolution and conviction.

To withstand the powerful influence of all these causes, I find little else affigned but a supposed indefatigable ardour of a few Prietts. Ignorance alone can lay any stress on this pucile argument. If the Catholic Priesthood ever possessed that aftonishing ascendency, which is ascribed to them, it is now at least evident, that fuch times are no more. Men, I believe, of every religious perfuation, have the common passions of human nature; and I am too well-acquainted with the general characters of Priefls and the circumstances of things, to admit a ridiculous supposition. I shall speak more appositely to this point hereafter. In the mean-time, I must observe how replete with absurdity that idea is, which can fancy, that the learning and attention of Protestant Minitiers, affided by all the weight and interested influence of an established Church, must give way to the imposing arts, as they are called, of an inconfiderable number of Priests! Human nature, as I have observed, in all her ways most perfectly fimilar, here wantonly departs from order

[119]

der and the fixed line of action, to gratify, it feems, the folly of fome, and the bad zeal of others.

Nothing then furely is to be feared from the number of Catholics. Let us however fee, whether they may not make up by their wealth and landed interest that deficiency which, it may now be owned, must be the natural effect of numeral weakness. What Jupiter despaired of doing by other means, he compassed in a shower of gold.

THE man, who is capable of thought, and who, from thought, can form a judgment, will not be induced to believe that English Catholics can be possessed of riches. They have not, he will say, discovered the Philosopher's Stone; nor does it appear, his Catholic Majedy has yet allowed them to enter the mines of Potosi: Precluded from the Army, the Church, the Bar, and from every place of trust or profit under government, they have no mean of acquiring, of improving, or of retrieving, a fortune. The Pope indeed has riche: But it is not usual with the Sec

Their Wealth.

[120]

of Rome to bestow her earthly commodiaties: She receives, but does not give.

We have, at this day, but eight Peers, nineteen Baronets, and about a hundred and fifty Gentlemen of landed property .--Among the first, the Duke of Norfolk, the Earl of Shrewfbury, and the Lords Arundel and Petre, are in possession of confiderable estates. But the Earl of Surrey, the eldest and only son to the Duke, having lately conformed, the large pofteflions of that noble and ancient family will foon fall into Protestant hands. The eldest son of Lord Teynham has also left the religion of his father.-Among the Baronets are not more than three great estates: Sir Thomas Gascoigne has this year also taken the oaths. Of the remaining Commoners, with an exception of four or five, the greatest part have not, on an average, more than one thousand pounds per annum, in landed property. Within this year alone, we have loft more by the defection of the two mentioned Gentlemen, than we have gained by Profelytes fince the Revolution.

In trade very few fortunes have been made; and at this hour, there are not more than two Catholics of any note who are even engaged in mercantile butinefs. The cldeft fons of our Gentry never think of trade; and the younger children have feldom a furficient fortune, on which to ground any profpect of fucceis. They therefore generally chuse to remain useless and dependent Beings among their relations and friends, or to eat a hardly-carned and feanty bread in the fervice of some foreign Prince. England, like a cruel tlepmother, refuses to give them nourishment. Should America win the great stake, she now so unjustly contends for, good policy will doubtlefs teach her to open her ports to all religions .-- Some few gain a decent livelihood by the profession of medicine, though, in thricinets of penal juffice, they may not even be apothecaries; and others in the low walks of the Liw. Were they freely allowed to fell drugs, their drugs, it is well known, would be poifon; and the open practice of law would very foon transfer all Proteilant property into the hands of Catholice !

The lower classes in life, like other subjects, support themselves and families, by the common arts of industry and labour. They thank Heaven it never entered into the breast of some stern Legislator to restrain them even from that privilege. He might have discovered, that neither the corn which was sown, nor the tree which was planted, by a Popish hand, ever arrived to maturity in Protestant soil. It is, however, certain, that were the laws against Recusants strictly executed, all the sweat of their brows would not discharge the penalties, to which the practice of their religion exposes them.

This being the state of Catholics, where are we to look for their riches. Even the estates, they are now masters of, are daily decreasing, from very obvious causes. There is a vanity of dress, equipage, and of general expence, which has seized all ranks of life. The Catholics are weak enough to give into the common folly. They live, and they spend like their neighbours, not resecting that what they once distipate, can by no means be retrieved. The necessary consequence is, that in a very sew years, the greatest part of their present

prefent possessions must fall into other hands. A Catholic, whom the love of dissipation or of fashionable life calls up to London, should be that up by his friends in some place of secure confinement: Posserity would be deeply thankful for the kind service. Yet, as among Protestants, so are there among Catholics, Gentlemen of easy fortune who live wholly in the country, not dissipating, but doing much good with the produce of their estates; and their doors are encompassed with the blessings of their neighbours.

Still, however, there subsists an unmeaning cry; That Catholics have money always at hand to forward the growth of Popery, by encouraging Profelytes, and by purchasing chates, whereby is to be acquired great parliamentary interest.—The want of religious zeal is, I believe, a strong bar to one attempt, and the want of money is a certain security against the others.

There is another instrument also, which takes away their property more effectually than the highwayman's pistol. This is the annual land-tax aft, whereby each Q 2 Catholic

Catholic is loaded with the payment of a fum double to that affessed on Protestants, Some attempts have been made to procure relief from this heavy weight; but as yet there is not sufficient generosity in the breasts of Englishmen, to grant so small a favour, though the change could not possibly be felt by the Protestant public. Drained by this hard imposition, Catholics, in common with other subjects, are yet loaded with those taxes, which even the most wealthy Protestants now affect to say, are become intolerable.—Will malevolent and ignorant men still maintain that Catholics are rich!

Their Character. IN point of manners and of moral character, they differ little from other men in the same walks of life. Their foreign education, it is sometimes thought, gives them at first a peculiar east; but a free intercourse with the world soon rubs off those acute angles, unless when inveterate habits have been formed, or the mind has been peculiarly narrowed. Some years back, when the penal laws were more strictly executed, and when weak men seared some noxious contagion from

the breath of Catholics, they affociated very little with the world. A certain sternness of temper was the natural effect of this retirement; and if, in their turn, they felt a strong dislike to Protestants, it was what the conduct of the latter deferved. Some good, however, and that of no trifling confideration, was from thence derived. The estates of Catholics were in better condition; they supported with more becoming liberality their indigent and oppressed neighbours; and in the duties of religion they were greatly more fincere. The diminution of piety and of honest virtue which now prevails, is, in my opinion, but poorly compensated by the tinsel acquirements of a more polished life. Nor, after all, has one effect been obtained, which it was natural to expect. Many Protestants, though they daily converse with Catholics on the eaty footing of private friendthip, still retain the same general prejudices against them, which the lowest ignorance should now blush at. They can think well enough of individuals; but nothing, they tell you, can be more shocking and abfurd than the pringiples of the body, and nothing more vicious and inimical to the duties of society

than their general conduct and habits of mind. It is vain to reason with such determined prejudice. Why Catholics, on their side, should entertain more liberal and just sentiments of Protestants, is a problem, I shall not solve. The fact itself is evidenced by hourly experience; and I trust, our principles as men, and our belief as Christians, are at all times as good as theirs.

The characters of the common people are hardly distinguishable from those of their neighbours. If there be any difference, the balance should rather ponderate in favour of Catholics; because, I know they are more carefully instructed in their youth, and are afterwards much attended to. They are folely to blame, if they neglect fuch means of moral improvement, as are constantly laid before them. It is a ferious complaint in the Protestant Church, that this most important of all duties is greatly neglected. As a friend to fociety I must always think well of that religion, though it were crouded with many speculative absurdities, whose Ministers are attentive to the instruction of vouth.

[127]

The lives of Catholics, in general, are observed to be regular: and without panegyrizing their virtues, to which I am not inclined, I only beg Protestants themfelves to declare their fentiments. Do they know, in the whole extent of his Majesty's dominions, better men, better citizens, or better subjects; people more amenable to the laws, or more observant of all the duties of civil life? Their charities, as far as their powers of doing good extend, are great. Every object in diffress is a fellow-creature who calls for relief; nor do I know, that Catholics ever make any diffinction of perfons, unless (which has fometimes Imppened) when Protestants have first refused affishance to those of the Popish perfusion.

From Gentry thus diffipated, as the most extravagant Protostants, or else temperate and retired, as the most moderate, and from a commonalty peaceable, virtuous, and honest, what has the most guarded and suspicious government to apprehend?

[128]

Their Abilities.

NOR are the natural acquired abilities of Catholics at all calculated to intimidate, from any suspicion that, should an occafion offer, they might either form, or attempt to execute, some grand design for the subversion of this Protestant government. In this regard, they are rather, I think, below, than above, the common level. As their education is inferior to that of Protestants, and as afterwards in life, they have few inducements to improve their understandings by such application, as can alone give superiority to mental talents, they generally rest satisfied with that finall pittance of knowledge, which fome foreign College originally supplied. Where circumstances allow it, they have perhaps travelled-and fo have their portmanteaus. Under the tutelage of some ignorant, and consequently selffufficient Priest, the youth has seen objects of vast curiosity; he has kissed the Pope's flipper, and he has vifited our Lady at Loretto. Thus qualified he returns, and it is well, if he brings not with him many of the follies, and some of the vices, of the countries he has passed through.— However, abstracting from the pious part of improvement, which they do not pretend

tend to, Protestant Gentlemen have little more to shew from their travels, than the sons of Catholics.

Though the want of education, I complain of, or rather a total inattention to improvement afterwards, be an infurmountable bar to the acquifition of great accomplishments, yet so very deficient is the present generation of Catholics, that few of them feem to posless those native talents, which often fall to the lot of unimproved mortality. From them the Proteilant constitution of England is, I am fure, in no danger. But for the honour, and for the utility, of the Catholic Body, we have much reason to repine at this untoward circumstance. The petitions of a fuffering people are often not attended to by those who can give relief, because they are not prefented, or purfued, with that spirit of manly sirmness and commanding eloquence, which will find their way even to the throne. We are a diffinited body, and ever have been fo. They who should take the lead, are either unable or unwilling to act; and the body fuffers by the indolence, the little views, or the timidity of their leaders. It has R

been feen in the preceding pages, how often the best-concerted schemes have been totally frustrated by some soolish or wayward opposition.—I mean not the severity of these reslections should be applied universally to all: We have, amongst us, men of real merit and of strong endowments; but it is generally the sate, as it is the wish, of these, to be kept back from the cye of public observation.

We have reason, indeed, to lament the loss of a young Nobleman, who very lately, as I mentioned, is gone over to the Protestant side. From nature he had received talents, adequate to the greatest defigns, and to thefe talents he had given fome cultivation. But there is in him a cast, a singularity of mind, and a bizarrerie of thought, which must ever give a tinge to the fairest endowments. With abilities equal to the management of great public bufiness, his best ambition will fpend itself in vain declamation against men and measures. He was always fond of opposition. I knew him when a boy; and at that time, to thwart, if poslible, by petty controversy, the views of his mafters, to complain of undue influence, to magnify

magnify grievances, and to head a little band of malecontents, were the objects truly congenial with his humour. With a less restless, less inconsistent, and less diffipated mind (for diffipation has now greatly added to his native character) he would have mounted with ardour to the first place, at the head of a body of men, to which his birth and his abilities called him. Here was a field wide enough for the display of the greatest talents. might have given splendor to the Catholic caute; would have possessed their warmeit affections; and might have asked relief for himself and for them in a style, that would have commanded attention. If his foul was not large enough to grafp at this high pre-eminence, and if, from infensibility to the impressions of religion, his conscience is fincere, I blame him not, that he has deferted the cause of his Ancestors; but I pity an Earl of S-v, who can fink down to the paltry fervice of a party-declaimer in the Lower House of parliament.

It is a fingular circumstance in the Ecclefiastical history of this country, that in proportion as a man lofes all fense of re-R 2 ligion,

ligion, and becomes immoral, he fees before him a better prospect of enjoying all
the privileges of the established Church.
I never knew an instance, in which conviction of the errors of Popery has made
one Proselyte. They become Protestants,
as soon as they cease almost to be Christians. It must surely be a bad arrangement,
which thus exposes to oppression the sincere and the virtuous, and which opens to
the vicious and dissipated man the road of
ease, of honour, and of preserment.

It may be expected, whilst the pencil is still in my hand, that I should give a sketch at least of some principal characters, which remain amongst us. It must not be supposed, that the noble Lord, I have mentioned, has carried off with him all the mental worth of the party. When Æneas tore one golden branch from the mystic tree, it was instantly supplied by another,

Primo avulfo non deficit alter Aureus; & fimili frondefcit virga metallo. Virg.

But I am not expert in the art of colouring, and plain delineation might perhaps haps offend. The most faithful portraits are not always the most pleasing. The public, which well knows how to appreciate merit, is in possession of the originals. If nature has been too thrifty in her gifts, or if circumstances have rather contributed to lull, than to rouse, the faculties of their minds, their condition thould not be cenfured. The most brilliant talents often prove less ferviceable than those of a more fixed texture. Even in the works of art. lead and iron are sometimes preferred to more splendid metals. Lead indeed lofes with difficulty its wellknown character; but iron may be hardened into feel.—Fungar vice cotis. I with I-could thimulate fome of my acquaintance to a more active exertion of those powers, which they have; and which difute in a thort time may perhaps torpify for ever.

IT has been feen with what firm attachment, Catholics adhered to the house of Stuarts. There was something in that loyalty, which even bordered on infatuation. They had received no favours from them; and experience said, they were not to expect any. Conviction of its rectifude

Their Political Sentiments.

titude was therefore the only motive which gave stability to their affection. At the accession of his present Majesty, some few Catholics were still intoxicated with the fumes of Jacobitism; nor did it then seem they could be easily expelled. By one of those singular revolutions, however, for which no cause can be assigned, in the finall space of a few years, the distemper worked off; and when the oath of allegiance was tendered in 1778, hardly, I believe, one Catholic refused to take it. It was a capricious event, but to fuch human nature is often subject .- It may not be inferred, that a change fo sudden should not be relied on: For, I am clearly fenfible, that Catholics are now as fincere in their attachment to the Hanover family, as they ever were to the Stuarts. Of this they would give the most convincing proofs, were they permitted to attest their allegiance by the common exertions of other fubjects .- After all, I fee no very particular grounds for this new disposition. We have yet received but little relief; and we continue an oppressed and injured people. The boafted excellencies of the British constitution are nothing to me, who am deprived of the common right

rights of humanity; they only serve to make my condition more irksome, and to create a restless desire of changes and revolutions. My situation cannot be worse, and it may be mended.

In the present state of contending parties, it is curious to fee how Catholics thape their politics. It is the cry of Oppolition, that they are friends to court measures; that they aim to join their interest to that of the crown; and that against the rights of the people they will ever be ready to strengthen the arm of Prerogative. As this evidently is the language of party, it merits little notice. Catholics are as free to form opinions as other men; and in their general decisions I he the same rule of conduct invariably followed. In their politics is the fame discordance and variation of sentiment, as is elsewhere observable. Ignorance only can affert the contrary belief. If, on the whole, they be rather inclined to government, which I think is the case, they have good reasons for their choice. It would be extreme folly to let their faces against that power, from which ultimately all redrefs must be derived. Besides, they feel

not those incentives to opposition, which are known to actuate the breatts of many Protestants.—It is false, that they are friends to arbitrary power. They imart too severely under the rod of oppression, to with to give it additional strength in the hands of a tyrant. Why even, as is often afferted, should they, from any previous principle, be more inclined to monarchy, than to any other form of government? At this day, there are Catholic republics, and Catholic states of every description. In the annals of this nation, never were there stouter champions for liberty, than the ancient Barons. Magna Charta is of Catholic growth. Nor do I know, that the boasting Protestant Patriots, of the prefent hour, would have acted at Runimede with more manly firmness, though their lips, I doubt not, might have diftilled more copious streams of honeyed eloquence.—Catholics are instructed to submit themselves to the ruling powers, and not wantonly to engage in faction. The murmurs of disappointed or of disaffected men can feldom be reconciled with reason and the plain dictates of religion.—We acknowledge ourselves much indebted to some Gentlemen in Opposition,

and we hope to experience the continuance of their favourable exertions; but in us it would be a conduct highly censurable, were we to adopt the language of party, with a view of making an adulatory tender of services, in themselves too weak and insufficient to effect any real purpose.

It is but lately that Catholics have or all appeared to engage in politics; they were too deprefied for the evertions of men; and even now they forcely take any decided part. In my opinion, fuch torpid indifference is reprehentible. I would not be factious, but I would frew that I had some property at stake, and that I withed to see it well defended. We have hitherto gained little by a long course of inective to million. An Englishmen should at all times dure to speak his sentiments. These at least connot be she blad, and a Trimmer between two parties generally in its the contents of beats.

If C thatles be cap be of doing my mjury to the flate, who as it is blockless has are; fluidy they are an expable of Passing it, in the fame proportion. It is to due therefore of Meghinates, by a six and is

hient measures, to insure their affections. Should they be incapable of resenting ill usage (and experience has sufficiently declared such to be their lowly condition) how unmanly is it, to oppress the weak and desenceless! They are to a man loyal, sincere, and patriotic; they have given the most solemn security for their allegiance, in this they have done all that men can do; and having done this, they are intitled to the privileges of subjects, and to the protection of the laws.

Their Reli-

IT is therefore in religious matters only that Catholics hold opinions different from those of Protestant Englishmen. Here they pretend not to think as they do; and this candid declaration should give evidence in favour of their general professions. The infincere man would affect coincidence in opinion, as well in religion as in politics, at least when his interest required it; and it will hardly be said, that we should not be benefited by an artful disguise of our religious belief. We declare then our diffent from many parts of the Protestant creed; and we openly avow our faith in articles.

[139]

articles, which the reformed Church has utterly exploded.

Liberty of thought is essential to human nature. Take that away, and man, his organization alone excepted, will not be superior to the als which browles on the thiftle, or to the thiftle which vegetates from the earth: It is that only which he can strictly call his own, because no created power can deprive him of it. His property may be taken away by the hand of violence, and his person may be thrown into confinement; but in the dangeons of the Bastile his thoughts are still free, and out of the reach of tyranny .- There is no subject on which our thoughts may not range, and on which they may not judge. For what other end, was fuch extent given to the mental powers? When we abuse these faculties, by an iniproper display, we become responsible to the Being who gave them to as; but to him only .- In religious enquiries why is our reason to be particularly restrained? As the subject is or singular importance, it fcoms, even greater latitude mould b. allowed us. Is it your bufiness to invade the best privilege I enjoy?-With this constitution conviction of mind I examine, I judge, and I church my own religion. It is the affair of my own confeience; it is a concern betwint myfelf-sand God; and it belongs to no other to arraign my conduct, or to cenfure my determination. To moleit me then in the practice of fuch duties, army confeience again tells me I should perform, is an equal stretch of tyramy.

On these principles, to me of clearest evidence. is founded the Christian doctrine of Townstion; a doctrine, which only ignorance of the rights of mankind, ecclenatical domination, or blind enthunalin, could ever controvert. If I differt from other men; do not they also diffent from me? And if I chule to finimit my faith to the decisions of the Catholic Church, I use no other liberty than he does, who chuses to reject them .- Catholics, I know, have often desired the external practice of religion to beclaries; they now continue to a) fo in many countries. It is not my intention to juilify what is ill-done. Bu. to be intolerant is a leading maxim of every established Church; whether it have its feat in Fugland or in France, in Hindomain or in China. It is now time to correct!

[141]

correct abuses, and not to seek excase for our own, from the example of others. All are equally centurable; and when English Protestants arraign so severely the intolerance of Rome, they only mark our more pointedly the injustice of their own conduct.

At all events, what has state-policy to do with the concern of a man's conscience? If he obey the laws of his country, and perform the duties of a subject, the demands of the civil magnitude are complied with. Is he to say, "You shall not wear a sword for the defence of your person or property, because you chuse to pray for the repose of the foul of your deceased father; or, if you will not think as I do, I forbid you to approach within ten miles of the capital?"—This surely is a species of sottish tyranny, which could only be exercised at a time, when to be absurd in the extreme was the first endowment of a statesman,

When it is found that any fect of men profets principles in religion, which either tend to the destruction of focial happiness, or are incompatible with the established order

order of government, it will not be denied, that the most rigorous means should be used for their suppression. The sword of justice should be drawn, and the miscreants be exterminated. It was thus, the Saxon Edgar freed this kingdom from the ravages of wolves, by which it was once insested. Yet Lithe to, I believe, no men have ever professed such destructive tenets. The religion of every man teaches him to be good, and he would be fo, were he to comply with its injunctions. The enemies to a fect may charge them falfly, and their mifrepresentations may impose on the ignorant. Inftructions should be taken from the well-informed, and not from the cry of defamation. I will apply to a man's own heart for an account of his tenets.-No people have suffered so much from flanderous description, as Catholics. They have repeatedly laid their belief before the public; which, with great humanity, always refuses to give credit to their declarations. You thall believe us, they fay-but we will not believe you. I susped there must be some secret motive for this incredulity. For it is the difpofition of a virtuous mind not to doubt the affertions of honest men. The liar thinks

no man can speak truth—because he never does it himfelf.

Once more I will give my reader a concife, but accurate exposition of Catholic belief. It is contained in few propositions. Should it vary from any previous opinions of his own; I only beg he will think, that I know better than he does, what is my own religion. The request is modest. The following rule I must infit he will attend to, because it is the grand criterion, by which each article of our faith may be diffinetly afcertained.

THIS rule is - All that and only that be- Rule longs to Catholic belief, which is revealed in the word of God, and which is proposed by the Catholic Church to all its members, to be believed with aivine faith.

Articles.

Guided by this certain criterion we profess to believe.

1. That Christ has established a Church upon earth, and that this Church is that, which holds communion with the See of Rome, being One, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolical.

2. That

[1.44]

- 2. That we are obliged to hear this Church; and therefore that she is infallible, by the guidance of Almighty God, in her decisions regarding faith.
- 3. That Saint Peter, by divine committion, was appointed the head of this Church, under Christ its founder: And that the Pope, or Bishop of Rome, as successor to Saint Peter, has always been, and is at present, by divine right, head of this Church.
- 4. That the Canon of the Old and New Tetlament, as proposed to us by this Church, is the word of God; as also such traditions, belonging to faith and morals, which being originally delivered by Christ to his Apostles, have been preserved, by constant succession, in the Catholic Church.
- 5. That honour and veneration are due to the Angels of God and his Saints; that they offer up prayers to God for us; that it is good and profitable to have recourse to their intercession; and that the relies or earthly remains of God's particular servants are to be held in respect.

[145]

- o. That no fins ever were, or can be, remitted, unless by the mercy of God, thro' fefus Christ; and therefore that man's indification is the work of divine grace.
- 7. That the good works, which we do, receive their whole value from the grace of God; and that by fuch works, we not only comply with the precepts of the divine law, but that we thereby likewife morit eternal life.
- 8. That by works, done in the spirit of Penance, we can make satisfaction to God, for the temporal punishment, which often remains due, after our sins, by the diving goodness, have been for iven us.
- of The Christ has left to his Church a power of granting indulgences, that is, a relaxation from such a mp ral chattifement only as remains due after the divine pardon of hin; and that the use of such indulgences is produble to inners.
- State; and that the fouls of imperfect Christians therein detained are helped by the prayers of the feithful.

1

[146]

- 11. That there are feven Sacraments, all instituted by Christ; Baptisin, Confirmation, Eucharist, Penance, Extreme Unction, Holy Order, Matrimony.
 - of the Eucharist, there is truly, really, and substantially, the body and blood, together with the soul and the divinity of our Lord Jesus Christ.
 - 13. That in this facrament there is, by the omnipotence of God, a conversion, or change, of the whole substance of the bread into the body of Christ, and of the whole substance of the wine into his blood; which change we call Transubstantiation.
 - 14. That under either kind Christ is received whole and entire.
 - 15. That in the Mass, or Sacrifice of the Altar, is offered to God a true, proper, and propitiatory facrifice for the living and the dead.
 - 16. That in the Sacrament of Penance, the fins we fall into after baptism are, by the divine mercy, forgiven us,

Thefer

[147]

These are the great points of Catholie belief, by which we are distinguished from other Christian Societies; and these only are the real and essential tenets of our Religion. We admit also the other grand articles of revealed and natural religion, which the gospel and the light of reason have manifested to us. To these we submit as Men and as Christians, and to the former as obedient children of the Catholic Church.

Reader, have you weighed attentively the plain and obvious meaning of thefe articles? And do you think there is one, which merits to be treated with fuch harsh censure, as is generally given them? Do you think there is one, the belief of which thould, in a Christian country, restrain us from the common privileges of fubjects and the blenings of unbounded Toleration? I mean not to say, that our doctrine is of fuch evidence as to command conviction and infant belief. This is a very different question; nor do I speak of the truth of our religion: Were it falle in every article, my reafoning would be the fame. - Tenets which to Catholics, from the long acquired habits of education, may feem familiar T 2 and

and highly rational, are not therefore calculated to make the fame impression on those, to whom they are new and uninteresting. The friend to truth will maturely weigh the important object, and will decide, as reason and the bias of genuine Christianity shall appear to preponderate. Of this, however, I am convinced that, were certain obstacles removed, such as the views of interest, the animosity of party, the blindness of prejudice, and those thick clouds which controversy has raised, it would then appear, that the Protestant Church of England and Catholics are divided by very thin partitions.

There are points of discipline also, which regulate conduct, and to which we pay obedience; as fashing on particular days, communion in one kind, celibacy of churchmen, the of the Latin language in public service, and other similar practices; but as these vary, and may be either altered or suppressed by due authority, they belong not to what is properly styled the Faith of Catholics.

Opinions alfo, whether regarding belief or practice, of particular schools or of particular schools or of particular.

ticular divines, conflitute a diffinct and feparate object. Great latitude in the forming of fuch opinions is allowed; and confeduratly it will be often abused. It has been in the power of fome men to give an undue weight to fuch opinions, whereby Catholies themselves have been too often imposed on. They have ignoran ly confounded the inventions of fallible men with the uncring declarations of Hewen. Of this circumfiance our enmie have many times taken an unfrie advarities, and the faith of Catholics has failured from the false representation .to ne opinions may deferre respect, long others thould be delyifed and reprobated. and it figuld be noticed, that mode of the charges brought against we are founded on this is in the modition; they be a top by q prince and my the fit publisher are as much expant for the Level, extinarticles I have realized. When all this extraneeds matter, whether of dishipling or of opinion is brought to a proper tell, by the 185 - T. RO I to the inflation, it will airer cover clin what light it is to be confiltera. Mera I to reject ever, epinion, witherto different, and token adhere to the articles of doctrine as dear shated. Ar and I

[150]

should be a Catholic in the strict and accurate acceptation of the word. Divines might censure me, Casuists might defame me, and the Pope might deny me the name of Papist; but my faith would still be pure, unimpaired, and Catholic.

Charges against them NOTWITHSTANDING this clear description of Catholic belief, many charges, of a very black and defamatory complexion, are perpetually urged against them; nor has it been possible to silence the voice of calumny.

It has been very recently afferted, that though we have taken an oath of allegiance and fidelity, we should not be tolerated in a Protestant country, because we have yet given no *security* for our good behaviour. It is a fixed maxim, say these men of refined discernment, in the Church of Rome, to which they all universally subscribe, "That no faith is to be kept with heretics; That the Pope can dispense with all oaths; and that every Priest has a discretionary power to forgive sins of every description."

We

We have answered; That we reject such doctrine as impious and unchristian; that it was never admitted by Catholics; that if any private person believed it, he was a bad man; and that no power, of whatever denomination, could make it lawful to violate such engagements, though contracted with Heretics, Jews, Turks, or Insidels.

We have answered; That we do not hold, the Pope has power to dispense with oaths; that the exercise of such power would be a violation of the unalterable laws of justice and truth; that it would be impious and invalid; and that no decisions, even of General Councils, can annul the sacred obligation of such engagements.

We have answered; That Priests have not a discretionary power to forgive sins; that to the sinner, who comes to them with all the dispositions of sincere repentance, we do believe they can, by the appointment of Heaven, grant absolution; but that it is God alone, who interiorly absolves the penitent, whilst his Ministers exteriorly exercise the function.

When

When we are accused of teaching that the Pope can depose Kings, and free their subjects from their allegiance; our answer is; That we abhor such maxims; that if Popes have sometimes exercised a deposing power, we condemn their conduct; that, as we acknowledge in him powers of spiritual jurisdiction only, we admit no interference from his court in the temporal concerns of state; and that we would oppose any secular attempts from him, with the same alacrity, as we would those of a French invader,

It is flill urged, that we allow in him an extent of jurisdiction, which is not confillent with the established government of this realm.

with regard to that particular arrangement, which appoints the King head of the Church, we arow, it is true, our diffent from it. But as that relates only to the edeblifhed Church, of which we are not members, our conduct is the fame as that of other Diffenters, over whom his whichly and hes no ecclefiableal jurisdiction.—The Bithop of Rome is our principal fuperior, as he is the fupreme head

of the Catholic Church. But all his power, being wholly fpiritual, has no relation to civil government; it reaches to fuch matters as, we think, appertain not to the controll of Princes .- The flate of religion with us is fo very low and imperfect, that it is not cary to differer, wherein the Pope has room for the exercife of any part of his prerogative. We have no national Church, and we guide ourselves by the rule of ancient practice and diteipline.—In Catholic countries must be looked for the proper display of the Papal power; and it will be found that its fphere of action is extremely bounded. They acknowledge in him a primary of jurisdiction; but it is a primary subjected to the controll of Canons and to the general order of effablished laws. His power is in no fenfe absolute. It is his duty to attend to the execution of eitablithed laws, and to take care that the Christian republic receive no in urv. This is the office of a first magistrate in every well-regulated state. And to shew how limited his authority really is; we maintain that each Pattor in his parith, each Eithop in his diocefe, each Metropolitan in his province, and each Patriarch in his pation.

nation, is possessed of a proper and essential jurisdiction, wholly uncontroulable by, and independent of, the See of Rome. They respect his primacy, but they have their rights and liberties as ancient and as facred as are his own. Such was the order divinely established by Christ. The canonical inflalment of Bishops and other higher Ministers is alone a branch of the Papal jurisdiction .- I know that, in former times principally, the Popes have exerted a very undue stretch of power. They had the passions of men; and the Christian world was too weak and too ignorant to oppose them. The confequences were at last fatal. It is vain to suppose that any citablishments, committed to human direction, can be long free from abuses: It is our duty, by prudent and the most effectual means, to contribute to their reformation.—Such, as I have deferibed, is the nature of the jurifdiction of Rome, and being fuch, England, I am very confident, has no reason to scar we fhall ever aim to introduce a power incompatible with her privileges.

When we have been called Idolaters; we knew not what was meant by the charge:

[155]

charge: For to God alone we pay our homage of adoration; but we think that particular respect is due to the first and best of his creatures.

We are accused of great uncharitableness in allowing Salvation to none but Catholics.—But this also is a mistaken notion. We fav, I believe, no more, than do all other Christian Societies. Religion certainly is an affair of very ferious confideration. When therefore a man, either neglects to inform himself, or when informed, retufes to follow the conviction of his mind, fuch a one, we fay, is not in the way of Salvation. After mature enquiries, if I am convinced that the religion of England is the only true one, am I not obliged to become a Protestant? In fimilar circumdances, must not you likewite declare you: self a Catholic? Our meaning is, that no one can be faved out of the true Church; and as we confider the evidence of the truth of our religion to be great, that he who will not embrace truth, when he tees it, deferves not to be happy. God however is the fearcher of hearts; he only can read those internal dispositions, U 2

[156]

dispositions, on which rectitude of conduct alone depends.

Such are the answers, we have always given to these, and to other similar charges. We know, we have had amongst us many bad and weak men, who have often spoken and often acted wrong; but it is unfair to involve the innocent in the ways of the guilty. By this ordeal, the virtues and good qualities of every fociety upon earth would be utterly done away. - As Chriflians, therefore, we admit all the doctrines of divine Revelation; as Catholics, we fubmit our faith to the authority of that Church, which we think Christ has founded; as men, we profess our obedience to the moral precepts of reason and nature; and as subjects, the King has our allegiance, the laws our reverence, and the state may command our fervices.

Their Priests. THE account I have given of the religion of Catholics naturally leads me to their Ministers. On this head I could wish to supply all possible information. Popish Priests are generally considered as a fair game, at which the shafts of fatire

[157]

and malevolence may be thrown with impunity. Like other objects, this also has two sides: The equitable spectator will wish to view both.

By an arrangement, which took place in the reign of James the Second, England was divided into four diffricts, and a Bishop was appointed to prefide over each. They had then 1000l. per ann. fettled on each of them, out of the Exchequer: but this only continued till the Revolution, when they were reduced to the necessity of supporting themselves by the best means in their power. Since that time, the fame regulation with regard to numbers has continued; and as they have no particular place of refidence allotted, each Bithop generally chuses to live in the most centrical and convenient fituation. Their office is, to attend to the fmall concerns of their respective districts; to administer the Sacrament of Confirmation; to provide the different congregations with Priesis; and to take care that these perform their duties, and behave in a manner becoming the character of Churchmen. It has been faid by a peevish writer, "That Popish Bishops go about, and exercise every part

part of their function, without offence, and without observation." This is an unfair representation: For it is in the most private manner that any part of their function is exercised; and as they possess none of the infignia of the Episcopal order, their goings about do not distinguish them from other men. Could modern Christians be inspired with the holy ambition of emulating the virtues of the apostolic ages, our Catholic Bishops have, furely, the nobleft field before them. They are not exposed to the allurements of worldly temptations; and all their treasures are in One hundred pounds per annum is more than equal to the revenue of their Episcopal Sees. Will the author of the Confessional, from whom the above remark is taken, be willing to exchange his Archdeaconry of Cleveland for the Mitre of these Popish Bishops?

As far as I can rely on my information, which I think is accurate, the number of Priests, now employed, is about 360. Their distribution is as follows.—In the northern district, which takes in the counties of Northumberland, Cumberland, Westmoreland, Durham, York, Lancaster,

[159]

and Chefter, there are about 167. Of these 48 are Ex-Jesuits. Three places are now vacant. This district contains the greatest number of Priests, and also the greatest number of Catholics; but not in proportion to the number of Clergy; many being private Chaplains to Gentlemen, where there are no congregations. Since their dissolution, nine places have been given up by the Ex-Jesuits, two of which are not likely ever to be revived.

In the midland district, are about 90 Priests; 23 of whom are Ex-Jesuits. There are now fourteen places vacant. This diflrict declines very fast, as appears from the great number of congregations now without Priests. Most of these have been vacant for some time, and no Clergymen unengaged have hitherto been found to supply them; though fome of them are Gentlemen's houses; by which means some families are obliged to go from five to ten miles, on Sundays, to Chapel. It may be noticed that this diffrict, though composed of the greatest number of counties, and those mostly large, to the amount of fixteen, contains only 8,460 Catholics, which

[160]

is computed to be about two thirds of what there were thirty or forty years ago.

The western district contains about 44 Priests; 23 are Ex-Jesuits. There is one place vacant, and has been so for some time; no person can be sound to occupy it. This district is the thinnest of Catholics of any in England, though its extent be great. It contains eight English counties, and the whole of North and South-Wales.

The London district, comprising nine counties, has 53 Priests; 11 are Ex-Jesuits. There are five places vacant. This district has also diminished, and is declining very fast.

These Priests, whose number and distribution I have given, either live as Chaplains in the families of Gentlemen, and have the care of the little congregations round them; or else they reside in towns, or in some country-places, where funds have been settled for their support. The Chapels are in their own houses. From many places being now vacant, as I have noticed, where Priests were formerly kept, it is evident that their number is greatly

[161]

on the decrease. The Jesuits also are daily dying away; nor is there any succession to supply their places. In the lapse of a few years, we shall see a very great additional falling off. Never, surely, was there a wilder fancy than the common cry of the growth of Popery, and of the great influx of Priests, since the passing of the late act in favour of Catholics!

Voluntary poverty is generally effected a virtue of high evangelical merit; but when involuntary, it lofes its meritorious character, and may be ranked among the miseries of human life. If Catholic Priests are disposed to make a virtue of necessity, like their Bishops, they will meet with no obstacle in their progress to perfection. Twenty pounds per annum is thought a very handsome falary for a Centleman's Chaplain; and if the rural curate have twenty more, to keep himfelf, his horfe, and his fervant, it will be faid, he is very well provided. Some may have fmall annuities from their own families; but this is not common.—From men thus broken by penury, the frowns of an imperious patron, or by hard labour in the fervice of their neighbour, government has little reaten, I think.

think, to apprehend machinations against the state; nor should the established Church envy their condition, or tremble for the subversion of her Hierarchy.

Our Priests, in their general character, are upright and fincere: But narrowed by a bad education, they contract early prejudices, which they very feldom afterwards deposite. The theological lumber of the schools supplies, in their minds, the place of more useful furniture. Moderately skilled in the Latin and Greek languages, they know nothing of their own; nor do they become fenfible of their manifold deficiencies, till it be too late to attempt improvement. They are bred up in the perfusion that, on coming to England, they are to meet with racks and perfecution: They land, therefore, as in an enemy's country, cautious, dislident, and suspectful. A man truly orthodox slies heretical company; he fears to be contaminated: and he would not receive infruetion from so foul a source. A Priest is feldom feen in the fociety of Protestants. The Catholics, he is told to herd with, either are unable to improve him, or if able, they are feldom willing. Contracted

in his circumstances, he has not the means of drawing information from books; and unfashioned in the forms of elegant life, his company is not asked for. Thus denied all occasions of improvement, if his native dispositions will allow him, he soon fits down fullenly contented, and looks no further. If he ever had abilities, difuse will, in a fhort time, lav them afleep; and at fixty he will be found the same man he was at twenty-five.—It is the complaint of our Gentry, that Priests are rough and unfociable: They would be less fo, perhaps, if their patrons were less proud, less ignorant, and less imperious. On both fides are faults, which should be corrected. That day is passed, when the counsel of the Prietthood was officioutly fought after; when, from the cottage to the throne, it pervaded every department in life. The employment did not make them better men; and their employers are defervedly flyled ignorant and weak-minded bigots. A Churchman who, in the discharge of his duty, is regular, exemplary, and manly, must be respected; if he be instreated, it will only be by fuch, whose frowns will do him more honour than their imiles.

X 2 It

It is often faid, that Popish Priests have an unbounded zeal for måking Profelytes. Were it true, I fee no reproach in the charge. It proves that they are fincere in their religious belief; that they esteem themselves in the best way; and that they with to impart to others the important truths of falvation. The man of zeal, and only this man, will, in every religion, flrive to make converts; and when evidently he is not actuated by motives of interest or some worldly pursuit, his only aim can be the good of his neighbour. If Priests ever possessed the spirit complained of, it has, I am fure, either long tince evaporated, or is become very unfucccisful; for the number of those, who conform to the established Church, is far beyond those who come over to us. Real zeal is not a latting impulse, when there is not fome passion to give it strength; and in what are we benefited by an increase of numbers? To instruct those who are born Catholics; to make them good Christians and good Citizens, is a talk by itself fufficiently interesting; we are already too many to fuffer; and in us too many are already loft to the service of our country.

It is a very fortunate circumstance, in the discipline of the Catholic Church, that Prietts are forbidden to marry. They who now can hardly maintain themselves, would not eatily provide for a wife and children. Protestants often inveigh against this celibacy of our Churchmen; but it would be well, I believe, if many of theirs continued fingle: Where shall the unprovided offspring of a deceated Clergyman find relief from penury and diffres? Luther indeed did well to condemn a practice, he was not willing to follow; and his marriage with a Nun was to his disciples a convincing proof, that celibacy was no virtue.

The influence, which Priests have it in their power still to acquire from the use of confession, it must be allowed, is very great. Take but once fast hold of a man's conscience, and you may lead him where you please. It is therefore, in our Church, a concern of the greatest moment, that Priests be well-instructed, and that they be good men. When this is the case, they become a powerful engine, whereby religion may be greatly advanced, and much public utility derived to the state.

Confession

Confession is a great check to vice, and it promotes the practice of virtue. It may be abused, as the best things too often are. Abstracting from all divine institution, were I to found a commonwealth, a law, obliging all my subjects to frequent confession of their sins, should be a principal ordinance. But the choice of my Priests should have my peculiar care. I would not, however, myself be found often in their company; for the Priest who holds in his hand the conscience of his Prince, too often meddles in the temporal concerns of state, which belong not to him.

The Jesuits, from the day of their institution, raised, through the Christian world, a suspicious jealousy, which they were never careful to suppress; they also raised an admiration of their zeal and of their unbounded activity. In our penal statutes they are marked out as a body of men wholly distinct from other Priests. It was thought, that they held principles inimical to the rights of mankind, and that their designs against Princes and their States were of the most deleterious complexion. There was no truth in this imagination. They had amongst them, indeed,

deed, Divines of wild fancy; they had loofe and indulgent Cafuitts; and they had men of dangerous activity. Where the weaknesses and common passions to which our nature is subject, are allowed to operate, things could not be otherwise; and the Jesuits were not more reprehenfible, than are all other focieties of men. The influence, which their zeal, their foft infinuation, and their abilities, acquired them, was, in every walk of life, amazingly extensive. It was often productive of great good, and it was fometimes productive of great evil. They aspired, I think, too high; and the rapidity of their fall could only be equalled by that of their ascent. To the Protestant Church they were always particularly odious: They were purpoicly raifed to oppose the progress, and to combat the opinions, of the first Reformers. Their attachment t) the See of Rome was great; and in them the Papal prerogative had always experienced the firmett support. It was, therefore, matter of attonichment when the Roman Pontin prenounced their diffointion. He was either a bad politician, or he was compelled to do it .- In abilities the Jefuit, were thought to furpais all

other religious orders; but because they wanted prudence to rein their ambition, and to moderate their career of power, they fell, - and were not pitied. - The English Jesuits were, I think, rather inferior to their brethren in other parts of Europe. Of this many reasons might be affigned. But there was a certain fameness in manners, and a peculiar cast of features, which generally marked every member of the Society. Among the Teashrubs in China, in the missions of Chili, in the gardens of Verfailles, or in a cottage in Lancashire, a Jesuit was a distinguishable man .- The few still remaining, daily dying off, in the courte of some years, their generation will be extinct, and their name almost forgotten. We shall then perhaps fee reason to lament their suppresfion. At all events, it is now time to drop those idle fears, which the phantom of Jesuitical craft and machinations formerly gave rife to.

Their Schools in England, IT was a groundless rumour, which lately prevailed, that Catholics were opening schools in all parts of the kingdom, whereby the rising generation of Proteschools.

flants were all to be perverted to the errors of Popery. The real fact is, that we have not opened one new school since the year 1778. The whole number of those which we have, are, I think, but three, at least those of any note. There is one in Hertfordshire; one near Birmingham in Warwickthire; and a third near Wolverhampton in Staffordshire. In London are some day-schools; and in other parts may be, perhaps, little establishments, where an old woman gives lectures on the Hornbook and the Art of Spelling. As her lesions convey no documents of treason or sedition, government need not watch her with any anxious attention.—At the two first mentioned schools are generally about twenty or thirty boys, who leave them about the age of twelve or fourteen. That in Staffordilire is far the most numerous. Its defign is to give some education to children of a lower class. They learn their religion, and fach other things, as may qualify them for trade and the utual butinets of life. When it can be avoided, they never admit Proteflents, from an apprehension that it might give offence; as alto from a well-grounded furpicion, that it would tend gradually to weaken the religious

[170]

religious principles of the Catholic boys. It is to me aftonishing, that Protestants can be found, who, were it in their power, would deprive us even of this small privilege of educating our own children! The ideas of such a man are a disgrace to human nature. Ultimus fuorum moriatur! It was the wish of the ancients to their greatest enemies.

Their I oreign Schools.

SOON after the accession of Queen Elizabeth, when Catholics had loft all hopes of re-establishment; and when by fevere flatutes the practice of their religion was prohibited, and themselves were not allowed to receive education at home; many of them retired abroad, and, by degrees, affociated into regular communities. In 1568, Dr. Allan, afterwards made Cardinal, founded a College for the English at Douay, a town in Flanders, then fubject to the Spanish King; and in process of time, other Colleges and places of education were established in France, Spain, and Portugal.-The remains likewife of the religious orders, who had been differred at the suppression of Monasteries, collected themselves, and formed into communities.

[171]

The first object of these different establithments was, to provide Ministers for the support of their religion in England, and in a fecondary view, to give education to the Catholic youth. Young men, therefore, foon repaired thither; fome of them took orders, and then returned to their own country. To fruitrate this feheme, which was the only means now left of preferving from utter rain the fmall remains of Cathelicity in England, many very fevere flatutes were made by Elizabeth and her tuccessors. However, in fpite of this opposition, and of the various attempts then made to prevail on the different Princes to expel them their territories, they flood, and exift to the prefent Lour. It was furely a firetch of cruel despotisin, thus to subject those, who should fend their children abroad, to hard penalties, and, at the fame time, not to allow them to be educated at home, unless they took oaths, which in their confeiences they thought unlawful!

The men whom, for many fucceeding years, these Seminaries sent into England, were very able and informed. A general spirit of enquiry, especially in matters of Y 2 religion,

religion, had begun to call into life those mental powers, which, for whole centuries back, had flept in lazy indolence. Controversy became the fashionable occupation of the learned; and true religion has many obligations to their laborious efforts. The English Priests eagerly engaged in those disputes of religion with their Protestant antagonists; and from the writings they left behind them, it appears, they were well-skilled in the arts of controversy. There is indeed an acrimony and a harshness of reflection in their works, which, to judge from modern habits, would rather irritate, than produce fentiments of moderation and mutual forbearance. But this was the ftern character of the age; and it may, I believe, at all times he doubted, whether the object of polemic writers is not rather to foil their adversary and to triumph, than, from the love of truth, to combat error, and to convince, from the godlike motive of doing good.

The present state of these establishments is as follows:—The College at Douay founded, as I said, in 1568, is the most considerable, and is governed by a President

fident and other Superiors, all of the English nation. It belongs to the secular Clergy; and the number of students is generally above a hundred. As its defign is to form Churchmen, and to give an academical education to the fons of Gentlemen, its course of studies has been confequently adapted to this double purpofe. But the complaint is, that its plan is not proportioned to the prefent improved state of things; that the Priests, who come from thence, are ill-provided with that learning, which other Univerfities can now fupply; and that young men, after eight years application, return home, very superficially acquainted with the Latin and Greck authors, and totally destitute of all other science. - General ideas, and the habits of mankind. have certainly undergone a great revolution; it is proper, therefore, that modes of education should vary, under skilful and prudent direction. Inflructions should be taken from every quarter, and the work of improvement begun, without further loss of time. The missortune however is, that to reform a College would be a thirteenth labour for Hercules. The cleaning the ftable of King Augeas, which

[174]

which held three thousand oxen, and had not been emptied for thirty years, was, compared with this, but a boyish achievement.—The revenue of this College is very moderate; and the pension, which provides every thing, is but of twenty pounds per annum.

The Priests from this house are the most numerous, and from them I principally drew those outlines of sacerdotal character, which the reader already has seen. They are open, disinterested, religious, and laborious; steady in the discharge of their duties, sond of their profession, and emulous of supporting the character of primitive Churchmen: But they are austere in their principles, confined in their ideas, ignorant of the world, and unpleasant in their manners.

The Clergy have also other seminaries, of inferior distinction, at Paris, at Valladolid in Old Castile, at Rome, and at Litbon. The number of students in these places is inconsiderable. The distance from England is great, and, abstracting from the expence of so long a journey, parents are not inclined to send their children so

[175]

far from home. The defign of all these establishments is solely to educate Churchmen. At Paris are many opportunities of improvement, which that learned University supplies. The mode of education in the other houses is copied from that of Douay; and their Priests, barring the local peculiarities they contract, are greatly in the same model. It is surely time to give new life to this antiquated form: But we want an artist bold enough to attempt it. When Prometheus had kneaded into shape his man of clay, he stole fire from Heaven to animate it.

Whilst the Jesuits stood, St. Omer was their great school for classical imprevement; and they supplied England with many able and active Churchmen. At the expulsion of that body from France, their College was given to the Clergy of Douay: In whose hands it now is; but it answers little purpose. English Catholics are not fulliciently numerous to supply scholars for its many houses.—The Jesuits themastives first retired to Bruges, in the Adstrian Netherlands, where they opened another College; but, on their total suppression a few years after, that house also

was dissolved, together with every other foundation they possessed. They then erected an Academy at Liege, (for their spirit of enterprize was not to be broken) under the protection of the Bishop and Prince of that place. They are now no longer Jesuits; but their Academy is in great estimation, and the children of our Catholic gentry principally resort thither for education. However, as their object is not to form Churchmen, (for they think the Church has used them ill) but to instruct youth in the fashionable arts of polished life, the order of Aaron will receive little assistance from their labours.

The Monks of the order of Saint Bencadist have also houses abroad, and their Priests come to England. There are four Convents now belonging to them, three in France, and one in Germany, but their numbers are small. In that at Douay is a school for classical education, where are generally about thirty students. From these different places but sew Priests return to England; it being an essential part of the Monkish institute to keep choir, for which business a considerable number of stout lungs is requisite.

The Friars of the order of Saint Francis have likewife a Convent at Douay, which supplies some Priests. Within these few years, they have greatly decreased, owing to the wife regulations I rince has adopted for the reduction of religious orders, as alto because the true spirit of Friarism is much abated. The fource likewife, from which formerly they drew a competent fubilitence, is almost dried up; I mean the liberal contributions of the public. It begins to be a prevailing notion, that the earthly tubscance of families can be expended to better purpose, than in maintaining men, who have no return to make to their benefactors, but a promife of a place in paradite, which, it is now difcovered, they cannot dispote of; and whose lives, though really more regular than reprisented, feem not to merit fuch partial indul, chee.

There is also a third order, which now be asserther to increase. The Dominicans, the orthographic the Juppression of the Juppre

ries. Some Pricits of this order are like-wife in England.

Such is the prefent state of Catholic establishments abroad, and from them come all the Churchmen at this day in England. It is rather a motley congregation; and they are, and ever have been, much divided by local prejudices of education, views of interest, low jealousies, pretenfions to partial favour, and the jars of fuch felfish passions, as have long had prescriptive possession of the breasts of Churchmen. It would be well, if with their caffocks, their cowls, and their capuches, they would also leave behind them the weaknesses just mentioned, and honeftly unite in one christian plan of ferving their neighbour, and of discharging the feveral duties of religion.—It was in these seminaries that was chiefly kept alive that Jacobitical felly, which, like an ignis fatuus, led the Catholics of England almost to the brink of ruin. It cannot raife furprise, because it is an obvious effect of circumstances, but it is morally imperiible that, whilst this system of soreign education continues, English Catholies can entertain the proper notions of Englishmen,

[179]

Englishmen. They must contract something of the manners, and fomething, I fear, of the principles of those countries, which give them so hospitable a retreat. Yet this effect is by no means fo fenfible, as from speculation one is induced to believe. The return is not very grateful, but it is observable, that our English boys never lofe that antipathy to Frenchmen and French manners, which, I truft, is constitutionally innate. They are, however, greatly exposed, and the experiment should not be tried. It is furely as impolitic, as it is cruel, to retain those penal statutes, by which British subjects are compelled to implore the protection of France, or to deny education to their own children!

THE Ladies would be displeased, were Their Nurs I to take no notice of their foreign establishments. At the time that houses of refuge were provided for the men, whom perfecution forced from home, fome Ladies of fingular zeal, who had also retired from England, attempted to form communities; and their fuccess was great. At this day, the English Nunneries abroad

neries.

are no less than twenty-one. France and the Low Countries have almost the whole number. It is incredible, how they have been able to support themselves; for tho' in many houses their numbers are very thin, yet they go on, braving all the florms of adverse fortune. A high opinion of monastic persection, sondness for the veil, and, above all, a thought that they fuffer on account of religion, are the charms which have filled their cloisters; or at least have preserved them from ruin. It is a misfortune, that England should be deprived of fo many fair examples of virtue: Their presence would furely be productive of more real advantage, than their abtence; though we have all great confidence in their prayers. - To them our young Ladies are fent for education: Some never return, joining themselves to the holy choirs of virgins; and the few, who are given to the world, become the forlorn hope of the Catholic cause.-Nuns are ill-adapted to the bufiness of education, when this is supposed to confift of precepts and general instruction, with which they cannot be acquainted. Having retired from the world, before they knew it, inspiration only can teach them

them the art of preparing others for its important occupations. Yet this they profess to do, or, in the capacity of influctors, they profess nothing.

Sentible as I am, that no mede of education can be lefs adapted to improve the mind, and to inftil fuch principles as may form it to the business of life, yet so it happens, that few Ladies have higher pretentions to the palm of female perfection, than have many of the Catholic pertuation. The public knows the truth of this ob-Gryatien. A display of their characters would, I know, offend their medelly; otherwife I would fav, that as wives, as mothers, as chirchs, and as childians, they hand unrivalled. One is tongetime tempted to furpeer that, in molding the not texture of their minds, nature, too kinds, partial, threw in fome clements, which otherwise might have fallen to the thare of their hufbands. - The instructions of the cloider are not invourable to the growth of there virtues; but it is usual with us, not to expose them to public notice, which often blatts the early flower, till maturer age has ripened them into more fecure perfection. To this circumflance I principally ateribe ascribe an effect, which otherwise cannot be accounted for.

If my advice might be followed, I would propose, if Nuns must be, that, after some years of holy retirement, they would return, with missionary powers, to this land of heretics: Their preaching would make more profelytes than a legion of Friars; and their example would be a fair path for us all to walk in .- The Legislature will at last furely relax those Gothic laws, which fend into exile fo many of their amiable fellow-subjects. Could they receive proper education at home, their thoughts would never turn to cloisters; and if, in lieu, they make it high treason against the state to put on the monastic veil, at least before the age of fifty, it will be a favour done to the rifing generation of English Catholics.

Conclusion.

IT is time to close this short view of English Catholics. I have said whatever seemed necessary on the subject; and I have said it freely. I pretend not to think myself void of all partiality, because I pretend not to be divested of human seelings;

feelings; but of this I am confident, that partiality to my own perfuation has not prevailed on me, to conceal any truth, to difguife any error, or to throw a veil over any weakness. I have blamed where I thought it reasonable; and I have praifed where there was merit. Throughout it was my object to support the character of a candid plain-speaking man. If either Catholics or Protestants take offence. it will not give me one uneasy thought. I shall pity men, whose eyes are too weak to bear the impression of Truth, however ferene the medium may be, through which it passes. I could have entered into more minute details; and I could have given a much wider span to my reflections; but I thought an object, contracted to a smaller point, was best adapted to produce the effect, I had in view.

It was my defign to demonstrate, that neither Church nor State had any thing to fear from English Catholics: and to this end, I brought forward every species of materials, which my sources of information could supply, and which had any tendency to illustrate the point. I described the Catholics as they really are; and from

from this description if it be not evident to the weakest sight, that all is scare, there must be a timidity in Englishmen, that will shudder at the most seeble suggestions of fancy. It is related, I think, as an instance of singular phrenzy in the heroic Ajax, that he took a slock of sheep for a host of enemics: The imagination of the Poet is realized in the conduct of Great-Britain. For two whole centuries, we have been harmless and unoffending; and at the present hour, were an occasion offered, there is not a hand amongst us which would be raised, but in desence of his country.

Things being fo, there is but one inference; and this is, That the cry, which was lately heard, and which is industriously kept up, was the cry of malevolence or fanaticism; and that the laws which, like the naked sword over the head of Democles, are held out against us, are cruel, unjust, and tyrannical.—It has been seen, that no just cause was ever given to provoke the enaction of such laws: But now even that plea subshits no longer, by which the mustitude was deluded, and the bad designs of party were screened from detection. It is

not faid, that we are in actual conspiracy against the state, and that schemes of assaffination are formed; but it is still said, and it is still believed, that our principles have a natural tendency to fuch dark works; and that it is not from want of will, but of power, that we do not attempt to place the crown of this realm on the head of a tyrant, or to add it to the triple Tiara of the Roman Pontiff. Pudet bees opprobria vobis: I am really shamed in the reflection, that men can w be weak enough to indulge fuch fancies, or can allow themselves the liberty of fuch childish language. If the view of these abfurdities raifes my indignation, it is an honest indignation, which becomes me; and I would rather have four legs, and feed on grafs, than not freely centure, what I think is an oppression of innocence, and a degradation of human reason. conduct of Catholics is rereproachable; they profess the most fincere attachment to the civil constitution of this realm; they reprobate the most distant belief of such doctrines as are laid to their charge: Still they are not believed; still the same accufations are repeated; still, under the weak pretence of holding fuch tenets, they are A 2

oppressed; and still the same infamous code of laws is permitted to remain in sull force against them!

It might be expected, that the eyes of this nation should now open to the humane and Christian doctrine of general Toleration, on the most extensive plan. They should set an example to the other kingdoms of the earth. If we really are that enlightened, that liberal, that humane, that philosophic people, which we so often affect to style ourselves, our own conduct at least should not give the first lie to the language of our lips.—My ideas are not perhaps adapted to the present state of received notions; I believe, they are only fitted to the meridian of Utopia; but had I the power, I would give the utmost latitude of profession and practice to all religions, which have votaries in any part of the terraqueous globe. Not only the followers of Mahomet, and the deluded children of Moses, should not be molested, but they thould be encouraged to come amongst us; and the Sun of England should shine with equal rays on all the descendants of Adam. It is only in such circumstances that Truth can fairly exert her native powers. Allow 211

all men to think freely, and to act confistently with what they think; and it cannot be, but truth must prevail over error. There would then be no motive for the difguise of sentiments; the mind would receive no undue bias: views of interest would not warp our conceptions; but plain, genuine, unadorned truth would present herself in all her amiable and divine fimplicity of form: Religion, with its attendant virtues, would challenge our first belief; and the religion of our choice would necessarily be the Christian. Variations in faith might still continue; but these would gradually die away, or at least all distinctions would cease to be odious. The Protestant would fit down by the Catholic; they would discuss, in the language of friendship, their mutual difficulties; and the Gentoo, the Jew, and the Infidel, charmed with a religion, which taught all men to be friends, would carneftly apply to receive instruction in fo humane a belief.

I well know such a scheme could not be introduced in the sace of an established Church; but for that very reason, I would have no religion established by sorm of law.

A a 2

That

That mode of faith, which produced the best subjects, should receive peculiar encouragement; and, in the eye of a statefman, this circumstance alone should be the test of its superior excellence. In any other view, the mixing of politics in the concerns of religion, and the granting exclusive favours to a national Church, have ever produced much evil, and never any good. It has confounded objects, in themtelves essentially distinct; it has encouraged the growth of every felfish passion; and it has put a bar to the exertions of fincere, honest, and unpliant virtue. The influence of religious worship over the morals and manners of mankind, has made it neceffary, it is faid, for politicians to court the interest and good-will of Churchmen: They have therefore granted peculiar privileges to some leading sect, and have established their belief by the firm fanction of law: "You only, faid they, shall partake of the loaves and fifnes."-This certainly is a very confined and mistaken notion. Where can be the policy of an arrangement which, by granting partial favours, fecures indeed the attachment of one party, but which forfeits the efteem

of the rest, by a denial of privileges to which all have an equal right?

But without infifting on these ideas of general justice, general humanity, and general policy; can any reason be now affigned, why Catholics should not enjoy the common rights of Toleration? What is given to other Diffenters, should be given to them-because they deserve it. Still, however, I am willing to make fome allowance to the prejudices of the multitude. The name of Papilt is odious to them, and as long as this impression lasts, it would be wrong to infult their feelings. As members of fociety, it is our duty to labour, that abuses be corrected, that errors be removed, that mutakes be rectified, and that no man fuffer wrongfully. The prejudices of the vulgar, and their idle alarms, would foon the away, were these objects attended to by those, whose care it is to instruct and to educate. But it is the endeavour rather of these men. not to mitigate acreaony and to foften prejudice, but to aggressate and to encrease both, by malevolent aspertions and the repetition of deciam fory invectives. Would English Protestants openly avow their

their fentiments; would they fay, as do their amiable Scottish brethren, that they mean to perfecute and to exterminate the fmall remains of Popery; we should not be at a lofs what plan to adopt. I would rather retire to the frozen regions of Siberia, which would receive me with more hospitality, and where I could think and act with the freedom of a man.—It is not our defire to be put on a level with other subjects; because, in the year 1780, Britain is not fufficiently enlightened to view all men with an equal eye: We shall be satisfied in the least and the lowest condition.—I mean not to point out fuch meafures, as might feem best adapted to give The penal laws against us us relief. should furely be repealed. Let parliament then adopt that plan, which may at once quiet the nation, in their fears of the growth of Popery, and may give that indulgence to Catholics, which, as good and as loyal fubjects, they are privileged to expect.

Lately published in Octavo,

And fold by G. Robinson, Pater-noster-Row, London,

Letters on Materialism, and on Hartley's Theory of the Human Mind.—Price 3s.

Immaterialism delineated; or, A View of the first Principles of Things.—Price 58.

A Letter to Dr. Fordyce, on the delusive and persecuting Spirit of Popery.— Price 18. 6d.







3 1158 01098 0190

A 001 431 140

Company of the compan